

Submitter: Jacob Scritsmier  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: Senate Committee On Finance and Revenue  
Measure, Appointment or Topic: SB1586  
Chair Broadman, members of the committee,

For the record, my name is Jacob Francis Scritsmier. I have lived in Hillsboro (or on its borders for all of my teenage and adult years). My kids attended Hillsboro schools. My parents and majority of my family currently reside in Hillsboro. I am active in parts of the Hillsboro Community at large and I urge you to vote no on SB 1586. I care more about preserving world class farm land than opening up land for another data center. The vast majority of the vegetables my family and I eat, as well as a good portion of our dairy consumption comes from Hillsboro farms. Below I have expanded upon my opposition to this bill.

No local public process: Normally, decisions like this have multiple public meetings, hundreds of pages of analysis on what the net cost would be, and community consensus on what they think economic development is. None of that has happened here. Everyone expected the land to remain rural until 2065 and local farmers and businesses alike had been making decisions based on that fact. The only ones who want this expansion are the landowners inside the 1700 acres and their lobbyist who would make hundreds of millions of dollars from the sale of this land.

Data Centers: The bill has a loophole that would allow almost unlimited data centers as long as they're "attached" to another business. Data centers don't produce jobs or tax revenue. And Hillsboro has plenty of land for them if that's how the city grows.

Land: We have plenty of land inside of our urban growth boundaries already for industries including high-tech. There's over 10,000 acres of industrial land around the state and 500 just next door to this land in Hillsboro. Hillsboro has had 15 years to attract high-job industries but instead is filling it rapidly with data centers and warehouses. The state does not even have an industrial lands inventory. We should know what we have and invest in those lands so we can minimize our costs and the impacts on surrounding farmland.

Expensive: We would bear the costs of this sprawl. Hillsboro hasn't shown detailed figures, but even their document shows they won't break even for 34 years. While we've heard that the bill may be amended to remove a provision that would double the tax break to businesses including data centers, another bill this session would extend a similar tax break. Other states are cutting or reassessing their tax breaks to

these industries. We should not be using our tax dollars to incentivize low-job industries that waste our energy and natural resources and are profitable on their own – especially when we're in a budget crisis.

Farmland: Taking this land irreparably harms another industry – agriculture. Agriculture depends on soil, and this land has some of the best in the world. Class 1 soils only make up about 2% of the soils in the Willamette River Basin and are the very best soils on earth. These are Class 1 and Class 2 soils and it is essential that we protect them for agricultural uses. Further, losing this large section of farmland that connects Washington county's farm country together could irreparably harm the entire industry.

Poor Process: I am submitting this testimony to you with the understanding that the bill may be amended between the opening of testimony and the hearing date. This is exceptionally poor process. If the legislature wants to receive public input on legislation, it needs to let the public know what they are commenting on. But even if the bill is amended to not include a tax abatement, we understand that HB 4084 would extend the same tax abatement - potentially indefinitely. And even if the bill limits the acreage in Hillsboro's UGB to 373 and in the urban reserve to the remaining 1700 acres, Hillsboro has never proven a need for more land.

For these reasons and more, please vote no on SB 1586.

Thank you for your consideration.