

Submitter: CHRISTINA SCHLOEGL
On Behalf Of:
Committee: Senate Committee On Judiciary
Measure, Appointment or Topic: SB1516

Public Testimony Submission
Senate Committee on Judiciary
Christina Schloegl - Grants Pass, Oregon

Chair Prozanski, Vice-Chair Thatcher, and Members of the Senate Committee on Judiciary,

My name is Christina Schloegl, and I am a resident of Grants Pass, Oregon. I serve as an appointed member of the Grants Pass Budget Committee, and I am also the spouse of an elected city official. I am submitting this testimony to share my family's recent experience navigating Oregon's stalking protective order system and to respectfully ask for your awareness as you evaluate whether current laws fully protect victims and those serving in civic roles.

On January 7, 2026, a temporary stalking protective order was granted after the court reviewed evidence demonstrating conduct that caused our family significant fear. Between that date and our hearing for a permanent order on February 3, 2026, the respondent was charged with a misdemeanor criminal offense for violating the temporary stalking protective order. This violation reinforced our belief that the risk was real and ongoing.

During this same timeframe, the judge who initially granted the temporary stalking protective order was subjected to threatening public statements by the respondent, as was his wife. Our permanent stalking protective order hearing was later held before a different judge, and on February 9, 2026, the permanent stalking protective order was denied. We received notice of that decision by mail today, February 12, 2026.

As we enter budget season, we continue to experience harassment and intimidation from the same individual and associated persons while serving in my appointed role on the Grants Pass Budget Committee and my husband's role as a City Councilor. This has created ongoing fear and uncertainty while carrying out our public duties. I share this not to challenge the court, but to help illustrate the real-world impact stalking and intimidation can have on citizens and families, particularly those involved in public service.

We have preserved extensive documentation, including public statements, evidence admitted in court, records of violations of the temporary protective order, and

evidence of continued escalation. I would be willing to provide this information if it would assist the Committee in understanding how Oregon's laws function in practice.

My purpose in submitting this testimony is to ensure legislators are aware that families facing credible fear may still struggle to obtain lasting protection under current law. No Oregon family, and no citizen serving their community, should have to live with ongoing intimidation without confidence that the law provides effective and durable protection.

Thank you for your time, your service, and your commitment to public safety.

Respectfully submitted,
Christina Schloegl
Grants Pass, Oregon