

Submitter: Patricia Downing  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: House Committee On Agriculture, Land Use, Natural Resources, and Water  
Measure, Appointment or HB4105  
Topic:

Oregon's forests are decimated currently by both the local logging industry and out of state "investors".

Oregon State Forests Are Economic Powerhouses - As Intact Forests!

- 600,000+ acres of state public forestlands
- Drive a massive \$550M outdoor economy
- Support 10,500+ jobs
- Are home to six salmon stronghold rivers (that would receive unprecedented damage from increased logging!)
- Are home to 17 endangered or at-risk species
- Provide clean drinking water for 500,000+ people.

HB 4105 is a Timber Industry Bill That Would

- Increase industrial clearcut logging on Oregon's public lands. HB 4105 requires the state forester to establish 10-year logging levels, in annual increments, set through rulemaking. This would create additional pressure on the Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF) to increase harvest levels at the expense of natural resource values cherished by Oregonians.
- Upset the balanced management on state forests by elevating logging over other values. By establishing a mandatory harvest rule, the bill would elevate logging over clean air and water, fish and wildlife habitat, carbon storage and recreation.
- It would limit the state forester's and the Board of Forestry's ability to protect public resources and prevent the ODF from implementing its Climate Change and Carbon Plan.
- The bill would only require consideration, not compliance, with the Habitat Conservation Plan and other policies that protect clean water, fish and wildlife habitat and carbon capture.

HB 4105 is an Expensive waste of taxpayers' and ODF time and money and would lead to increased litigation. The bill would create a new right for timber companies, counties and tax districts

to sue the ODF for alleged violations of the timber harvest rule, creating endless litigation and limiting the

ODF's ability to manage state forests for multiple values. Further, limiting who has the right to sue may be unconstitutional.

- This bill is expensive. Based on 2025 fiscal impact estimates from an identical bill, implementation would

require \$1.1 million of initial funding to start this program. The new right to sue the ODF would also cost the state significant resources in ODF and DOJ staff time and legal fees, estimated to upward of ten million dollars per biennium.

#### HB 4105 is Unnecessary

The State Forester already sets sustainable harvest levels consistent with the mandate to manage state forests for "Greatest Permanent Value" for all Oregonians and reports this to the public. The ODF has exceeded its harvest objectives over the past 10 years.

Revenues from state forests are expected to increase over the next ten years. The ODF is currently evaluating plans, with the support of timber dependent counties, to increase timber harvest and revenue from state forests for the next ten years in a way that is consistent with the state forest Habitat Conservation Plan.