

Submitter: SK Cliver

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Agriculture, Land Use, Natural Resources, and Water

Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB4105

Dear Co-Chairs Helm and Owens, Vice Chair McDonald and Members of the Committee,

I oppose House Bill 4105. This timber industry bill prioritizes timber production over other

forest values on state forests. It undermines the state forest habitat conservation plan and

would result in more clearcuts, more lawsuits, less habitat for salmon and wildlife, and less carbon storage.

The bill is unnecessary. The Department of Forestry regularly meets or exceeds its timber

harvest goals, often at the expense of clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife and carbon

storage goals.

It's expensive. The state can't afford unneeded legislation with a large fiscal impact, especially with the current fiscal crisis.

It would lead to more costly litigation. The bill creates a new right to sue the Department of

Forestry. It would only allow recipients of timber revenue access to the courts to challenge

implementation of the timber harvest rule.

I urge you to oppose this bill. Instead, I encourage this committee to focus on measures

that would increase carbon storage in our state forests and safeguard our public resources

for our children and future generations.

Please don't let this bill pass out of committee.

Sincerely, Dear Co-Chairs Helm and Owens, Vice Chair McDonald and Members of the Committee,

I oppose House Bill 4105. This timber industry bill prioritizes timber production over other

forest values on state forests. It undermines the state forest habitat conservation plan and

would result in more clearcuts, more lawsuits, less habitat for salmon and wildlife, and less

carbon storage.

The bill is unnecessary. The Department of Forestry regularly meets or exceeds its

timber

harvest goals, often at the expense of clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife and carbon

storage goals.

It's expensive. The state can't afford unneeded legislation with a large fiscal impact, especially with the current fiscal crisis.

It would lead to more costly litigation. The bill creates a new right to sue the Department of

Forestry. It would only allow recipients of timber revenue access to the courts to challenge

implementation of the timber harvest rule.

I urge you to oppose this bill. Instead, I encourage this committee to focus on measures

that would increase carbon storage in our state forests and safeguard our public resources

for our children and future generations.

Please don't let this bill pass out of committee.

Sincerely, Dear Co-Chairs Helm and Owens, Vice Chair McDonald and Members of the Committee,

I oppose House Bill 4105. This timber industry bill prioritizes timber production over other

forest values on state forests. It undermines the state forest habitat conservation plan and

would result in more clearcuts, more lawsuits, less habitat for salmon and wildlife, and less

carbon storage.

The bill is unnecessary. The Department of Forestry regularly meets or exceeds its timber

harvest goals, often at the expense of clean water, habitat for fish and wildlife and carbon

storage goals.

It's expensive. The state can't afford unneeded legislation with a large fiscal impact, especially with the current fiscal crisis.

It would lead to more costly litigation. The bill creates a new right to sue the Department of

Forestry. It would only allow recipients of timber revenue access to the courts to challenge

implementation of the timber harvest rule.

I urge you to oppose this bill. Instead, I encourage this committee to focus on measures

that would increase carbon storage in our state forests and safeguard our public resources

for our children and future generations.

Please don't let this bill pass out of committee.
Sincerely,