

Submitter:	Nick Cornilsen
On Behalf Of:	Self
Committee:	House Committee On Rules
Measure, Appointment or Topic:	SB243

Banning these rapid fire mechanisms is redundant with federal law, and would be ineffective against individuals who aren't really interested in following the law anyway.

Allowing municipalities to restrict CHL carriers from exercising their concealed carry rights is A) un-necessary, B) ineffective, and an C) infringement on carry rights in general.

A) unnecessary because CHL holders, by pretty much all measures and studies, are more law-abiding than even the police. These are the only people who would follow the law, and they're not the people who would use their firearms maliciously in these spaces.

B) Ineffective. 94% of mass shootings occur in gun-free zones. It may come as a surprise, but people who are intent on committing these horrors are willing and able to walk right by a sign that tells them they can't have a gun on those premises. You really want to have the law-abiding CHL carriers I mentioned above to BE armed in that case. and

C) an infringement on carry rights in general. Now, I know this is the point of this law - to be a spiteful thumb in the eye of lawful gun owners, so this is for the crowd I guess. But creating a patchwork of gun free zones all over public spaces makes bearing a firearm such an uncertain and fraught process that many will choose not to. This WILL result in guns being left in cars because some feckless city council decides to ban guns somewhere without notice... increasing the opportunities for them to be stolen.

Vote no on this!