

Submitter: AL Martin  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: House Committee On Rules  
Measure, Appointment or Topic: SB243

## OPPOSE

### Gun-Free Zones: A Wolf's Buffet

Critics of gun-free zones liken them to sheep pastures inviting wolves. "Declaring an area gun-free without providing adequate security is like putting up a 'No Sharks' sign at the beach and hoping for the best," said security expert Al Armament. Some suggest that establishments enforcing such zones should be held liable for the safety of their patrons.

Gun control advocates have unveiled what can only be described as a revolutionary crime-fighting strategy: if we simply ban guns and high-capacity magazines, criminals—who by definition don't follow laws—will suddenly develop a newfound respect for regulations.

### Distrust in Government, Yet Trust in Government-Only Guns?

An intriguing paradox has emerged: those advocating for disarmament often express distrust in governmental institutions. "We don't trust the police or the government," said protester J. Dissent. "But we believe only they should have guns." Observers are left scratching their heads at the logic.

### Foreign Threats: Who Will Protect the Unarmed?

As debates rage on, some raise concerns about national defense. "If Americans surrender their firearms and ammunition, who will defend us against foreign adversaries?" asked historian Pat Riot. "History has shown that an unarmed populace is vulnerable."

### Never Go Out of Style

In what can only be described as a constitutional fashion phenomenon, Americans have begun treating the Bill of Rights like a seasonal wardrobe. The Second Amendment has apparently been deemed "too vintage" for modern sensibilities, while its contemporaries—the First, Fourth, and Fifth Amendments—remain timeless classics in the constitutional collection.

Critics of this selective constitutional aging process point out the curious inconsistency in how Americans interpret the phrase "right of the people" differently depending on which amendment contains it. "It's fascinating," notes fictional Professor Knowitall, "how the same phrase written by the same people at the same time can be sacred in one amendment but suddenly archaic in another."

### Too Sensible to Adopt: The NRA's Safety Rules

In a startling revelation, gun safety rules promoted by firearms organizations—such

as always keeping guns pointed in a safe direction and keeping fingers off triggers until ready to shoot—have been deemed "too logical" for mainstream adoption. These straightforward principles, which could prevent countless accidents, apparently lack the political appeal of comprehensive bans. "We prefer focusing on banning objects rather than promoting responsible behavior," explains fictional bureaucrat Red Tape. "It's much easier to pass sweeping legislation than to encourage individual responsibility and education." This approach conveniently ignores that most firearms accidents result from handling errors that basic safety rules would prevent.

#### The Mythical "Good Guy with a Gun"

According to certain political circles, the concept of armed citizens stopping violent attacks is merely a fairy tale invented by gun rights advocates—a comforting myth with no basis in reality. Despite this narrative, documented cases continue to emerge of legally armed civilians preventing mass casualties. From church security volunteers to property managers, these individuals have stopped active shooters and saved lives—stories that often receive minimal media coverage compared to successful attacks.

#### BREAKING: Government Proposes Stricter Gun Laws to Prevent Suicide, Ignores Entire Existence of Bridges, Bathtubs, and Overdoses

In a bold move to save lives and definitely not just score political points, lawmakers announced today a sweeping new initiative to curb suicide by tightening gun laws—because, according to officials, if you take away one method, sadness will simply give up and go home. "We've identified the problem," said Senator Clueless, "It's not mental health, social isolation, economic despair, or a lack of accessible care. Nope. It's the tool. Obviously."