

Submitter: cindy mahoney

On Behalf Of:

Committee: Senate Committee On Housing and Development

Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3522

neutral

Yes, I'd like to see squatters removed from rentals in a timely manner

No, I have seen the result of evictions from disgruntled squatters.

Yes, they need to be responsible for prior monies due

No, the court has been skewed for years, one way or another

Yes, give them a fine from a judge with the blinders of true justice.

Hold them responsible for monies and damage incurred by the tenant due BUT

Hold the owner responsible for repairs documented that were not incurred by the tenant

I used to work for Oregon Legal Services as the Repo Queen (for the plaintiff)

1. With eviction notices, the court was required to ask if the plaintiff wanted to have court dictation or video

2. The paperwork was never on top of the counter, but had to be requested, despite not being told ... they needed it \*this would have saved money in a second trial

3. Oregon LOST evidence presented by the "squatter" who merely wanted damage, not caused by her, to be addressed/fixed

4. No one TOLD the plaintiff that the request for dictation/video was required to have it done

5. Since all evidence was LOST, the plaintiff won their case in OR Supreme Court because the district court erred \*and big time.