

Submitter: Scott Dale

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Economic Development, Small Business, and Trade

Measure, Appointment or Topic: SB1005

To all concerned,

“Reasonable Doubt”.

To me that means any rational thinking person would agree there is sufficient doubt to make an informed decision.

It and its Ally “Unreasonable” have long been legal terms used in not only our state, but in our great nation and its very beginnings.

In this specific case, this bill wants to remove that and the protections it is supposed to currently provide. This is a grave mistake.

This particular verbiage was written for a reason. I highly suggest all with an opinion read the 2024 Oregon Privacy Act.

This testimony is not an indictment of any particular business or store owner but rather I speak for the senior citizen who can't speak for himself. The one forced to drive across town to find a store that won't force him to surrender his ID to be scanned by a kid with pimples. This man fought in Korea for his country and keeps up on the news. He sees the reports of data theft all the time. He saw the recent instances of business owners selling drugs and engaging in other illegal activities. He doesn't want to let anyone scan his ID. He shouldn't be forced to either. He shouldn't be told if he doesn't like it, go somewhere else. Then drive further from his home trying to find somewhere to make his purchase without unreasonably surrendering his Identification.

You that vote yes on this, tell him, tell me, tell all of us how will you protect us and our information? What dollar amount will be budgeted for that?

This Bill is about swiping/scanning data and trusting the business doing it. This is said to “protect children” and to “protect the business owner”. How exactly does risking everyone's data do that?

Rewatch past testimony, if an employee can reasonably assess an unhoused person is over the age of 21, why can they not distinguish the same with housed people?

This change does not make sense and is reckless in its wording and will lead to privacy lawsuits, especially when there is found to be a breach by a business.

I sincerely thank you for reading my words and I ask you to lean on common sense rather than politics, I ask you to protect the personal information of those that it is unreasonable to force to surrender their data. I ask for you to not mock those beautiful constructed legal terms such as “unreasonable doubt” but rather to embrace how lucky we are to live in a country that uses such undeniable honest terms to protect its citizens.

I do not write for just me, but for so many middle aged and seniors across the state who just don't know this is even going on. I ask you to use common sense in your decision.

Perhaps businesses that have a hard time doing Math, or identifying a senior from a twenty year old, should not sell age restricted products? Wouldn't that do more to protect the kids? Rather than fine them and their employer, remove their license to sell.

A man should not go into one business and be offered a senior discount and then to another and be forced to surrender their data to be scanned to prove they are of the age of 21 or told to go elsewhere. What changes here?

Thank you and good luck and may your decisions be based in common sense.

Scott Dale