House Committee On Revenue

Re: Oppose HB 3489

Chair Rep. Nancy Nathanson, Vice-Chairs Rep. Werner Reschke, Rep. Jules Walters and members of the committee,

My name is Mike Barsotti. I'm a family forest landowner, a forester for 50 years, an Oregon Department of Forestry retiree and a member of Oregon Small Woodlands Association and the Oregon Tree Farm System.

I'm opposed to this bill for several reasons which include: I'm opposed to this bill for 5 reasons:

- 1. This bill puts the burden of paying for wildfire suppression on the backs of the forest sector, and the majority of the tax goes to non wilefire suppression programs. I was a member of an advisory committee to a 2020 legislative directed Fire Suppression Cost Study, HB 4304 Section 69, that found that Oregon forestland owners pay the highest percentage of fire suppression costs among the 12 Western States.
- 2. <u>Forest landowners are not the major source of wildfires</u>. What is needed is a fair, equitable funding method. This session's HB 3940 addresses wildfire suppression funding in a more fair, all Oregonians approach. The committee developing HB 3940 rejected the use of a severance tax as it is not an "all Oregonians" approach.
- 3. Oregon's forest sector already pays more than 1.5 times in taxes than other similar Oregon business sectors.
- 4. <u>This bill favors one forest certification system over others</u>. The state should not be in the business of favoring one system over other legitimate certification systems.
- 5. For many landowner state income taxes are far less than this bill will take from a harvest.
- 6. <u>Landowers are paid on pond value, not stumpage values, and many thinning harvests</u> provide little net income.

Please reject this bill.