Submitter: Clair Klock

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Agriculture, Land Use, Natural

Resources, and Water

Measure, Appointment or

Topic:

HB3372

Co-chairs Owens and Helm,

I am Clair klock and listened to the hearing this morning and didn't get a chance to speak. With all the testimony in support:

I'm still oppose the 3372 -5.

- 1. Just because there are bad exceptions to present water laws shouldn't mean that we add more. Need to backtract on those exceptions -15,000/day in insane.
- 2. I would put a Greenhouse in and ran the operation year around.
- 3. How about Rainwater harvesting with conservation measures.
- 4. I spend 25 yrs consulting with people who had lost their well due to lowering static levels and saw the cost of deepen and drilling new wells. The state is now paying effected landowners to put in new wells because state didn't manage the resource. How can you promise a products when you don't even know the quantity available. Could made a person fiscally conservative beyond reason.
- 5. One testimony said they needed the exception before their area is groundwater critical.
- 6. Data is still lacking and early in the meeting money was discussed about doing the needed data collection.
- 7. I a Burns meeting a number of years , I sincerely remember the anguish of the couple that were having to decrease their operation because of Junior water rights allocation was draining their Senior Water Right

Enough and Respectfully

Clair:)