

Submitter: Scott McGraw

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Judiciary

Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3884

There will be boilerplate responses against this proposed bill, and what I have seen certainly should be good reason to fail to even get tit to a committee vote. As for my further concerns - If a person set ups an agreement for the bill description reason of suicide avoidance, how can they ever recover it without first "conclusively" proving they are of sound and non-suicidal mind? This bill would lead to "agreements" resulting in shifting the "burden of proof" to the citizen and away from government. Many people and especially "progressive" democrats (and there are certainly more than a "few" of them in all three branches of our State government), will approach this with effort to focus on emotion and rhetoric and to divert away from a logical analysis of the ultimate result of their use. May I respectfully point out that while you don't "seek" a revolt of the People of this State, how can you possibly ignore that you constantly push with that end result?