| Submitter: | Chad Kernutt |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| On Behalf Of: | WE THE CITIZENS |
| Committee: | Senate Committee On Rules |
| Measure, Appointment or Topic: | SJR30 |

My name is Chad Kernutt, I'm from Albany and i want to clarify that I have changed my position from opposed to neutral on SJR-30. I didn't look past the brief summary/argument I saw on this bill from a certain website which didn't present this bill in full so i didn't quite understand it fully until the public hearing towards the end. I do like parts of this bill like having equal representation and interest/numbers among the 6 districts to make it qualify. What made me strongly dislike it was the higher number requirement of signatures to qualify an IP and get it passed. If SJR-30 was made to make qualifying an IP cheaper for WE THE PEOPLE so it couldn't only be purchased be the ultra wealthy out of staters and Portlanders, have the same number/percentage of signatures as now or lower, and have the equal representation/support/numbers from the 6 voting districts addition than I would be a hard yes on this bill. But raising the numbers of signatures to qualify/pass is a big turn off for this bill. Sorry for my confusion and keep working on this bill please to perfect it. Thank you.-Chad