

Submitter:

Phil Mikkelson

On Behalf Of:

Committee:

Senate Committee On Rules

Measure, Appointment or Topic:

SB210

I'm writing in strong opposition to SB 210. Oregon has one of the most secure and trusted vote-by-mail systems in the country. Switching to in-person voting would be unnecessary, expensive, and disruptive.

We don't have the infrastructure in place to handle full in-person voting, and building that system would cost taxpayers a lot of money.

There's no evidence that our current system is broken—so why try to fix it?

This bill is about political interference not genuine reform.

And I believe the point is to brake our voting system so during the voting cycle there will be enough issues and to call into question the actual will of Oregonians.

Let's not break something that already works well for Oregon.