

Submitter:

Randall McCall

On Behalf Of:

Committee:

Senate Committee On Rules

Measure, Appointment or Topic:

SB210

As an adult who has voted in every election since I got out of college, I've voted in two states during those 48 years - California and Oregon. In California, although I thought it patriotic to traipse down to my local school and fill out the punch card ballot, it was always a bit of a last minute hassle after work (elections are on a Tuesday after all). Since arriving in Oregon in 2005 though I've had a luxury that is afforded to few in this country- the ability to sit down at my kitchen table, and review the choices on the ballot and patiently review the candidates and positions that are on offer.

Why would this be under threat at this time - was there a game changing election where ballots that were fraudulent changed the outcome? I might be convinced if you show me that evidence. Any election, local, state or federal? If so where is that proof that such a bill is necessary. Statements without supporting evidence aren't worth the time of day. With a relatively short schedule for creating and approving effective legislation this particular strategy to take us back before the 1980's strikes me as entirely unnecessary, a complete waste of valuable time to review important legislation that may help the citizens of Oregon instead of hindering their choice of representation, and clearly a method to limit the turnout of Oregon voters.