

Submitter:

Kathleen Sweet

On Behalf Of:

Committee:

Senate Committee On Rules

Measure, Appointment or Topic:

SB210

My Strong Opposition to this proposition is to be noted. Not only is this discriminatory for those of us in rural areas, but it is fiscally irresponsible. This proposition is discriminatory for all; offends working folks as not all employers can give time off, offends farmers & ranchers, as they may need one or two days away from the fields to travel, offends the employers who need to allow labor crews time off and lessen production time, this offends those who may need to serve as a "poll worker" to tend the election office. This is especially offensive to those serving the population in the medical fields, such as doctors, nurses, hospital & nursing home staff, and all the patients.

This is too costly for Oregon!! We do NOT have the infrastructure for this and do not have the budget for establishing the system of election polling places.

If Oregon had wanted "in-person" voting as a "standard", we would have not elected to use the mail-in system in the first place.

This is NOT for Oregon.