Submitter: Virginia Purvis

On Behalf Of: self

Committee: Senate Committee On Rules

Measure, Appointment or Topic: SB210

I affirm I have read the proposed SB 210 as presented on the Oregon Legislative website and I decide to oppose it on its 3 major issues: There is NO NEED to return to in-person voting only, as Oregon's vote-by-mail experience thus far hasn't resulted in confusion or fraud that materially effects our vote count. For the same reason of previous lack of significant voter fraud, there is no reason to so severely limit the required identification unless the objective is to severely reduce the NUMBER OF PEOPLE with the specified identification: Our signatures currently must materially match the signatures recorded on our registrations, though we may have never entered a DMV office or went away for a passport. This has been sufficient to prevent significant fraud. The 3rd requirement I would not want to see is all voting must be performed on the voting day with only 8 hours as mandated for availability -- this would result in the long lines in all weather that we have seen in other states, and people dropping out of line from fatigue or resentment at the needless wait. If inperson voting at polling locations is ever again required in Oregon, I would prefer it to last 3 days instead of 1.

There is also the onerous requirement of 21 days prior to election day for requesting a mail-in ballot. This will also limit the number of people voting. Shorten this time.