Submitter:	Michael Dunn

On Behalf Of:

Committee: Senate Committee On Judiciary

Measure, Appointment or Topic: SB243

While all four components of SB 243 are problematic, the age restriction language has already been determined in court that it violates the Second Amendment as they are among "the people" protected by the Second Amendment. Furthermore, the the bill would negatively affect our youth and young adult hunters and sport shooters. Restricting possession of semi-automatic rifles and shotguns fails to take into account the standard uses of semi-automatic shotguns in waterfowl, upland bird, and turkey hunting, as well as being a primary firearm of high school and collegiate sport shooting teams.

Additionally, due to the language stipulating that a firearm could only be transferred to a minor from a parent or guardian, firearms training and educational courses, such as the shotgun skills courses presented by Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife, would no longer be able to provide temporary firearms to students under 21.

The age restriction language in SB 243 creates numerous barriers to entry for new youth and young adult hunters and sport shooters who want to participate in hunting and shooting activities and therefore I strongly oppose the bill.