

Submitter:

Cash Paullus

On Behalf Of:

Committee:

Senate Committee On Judiciary

Measure, Appointment or Topic:

SB697

I am against SB 697 as it violates my constitutional right to bear arms as a legal adult in both the federal and state level, doesnt make sense when looked at logically, and effects hunters. As stated in both the United States constitution and Oregon constitution, my right to bear arms shall not be infringed. Taking my ability to buy a firearm at 18 but still having the legal framework to forcibly conscript me for a war I may not support sounds downright dystopian. Whats next big brother? Looking at this bill logically it still doesnt make sense. I can buy and drive 3000 pound jeep at 16, 70 miles per hour on the freeway with no powered steering or airbags but my bolt action .243 cant be with me because that's dangerous? make it make sense. to finish, I hunt, and have been looking forward to buying my own rifle since i was 10, a bolt action 30-06 with a wooden stock. It can take down a deer, elk, and bear which is all I need in life. Why should other peoples solutions to problems cause problems for others?