

Submitter: mathieson clayton
On Behalf Of:
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3075

I oppose this bill. I am a father Grandfather and Husband. The right to defend my family is dear to me. This bill will infringe on that right. The person wanting to commit

homicide will not care about this law because they are planing on committing murder. It doesn't matter if they are limited to 10 rounds because they would come prepared with multiple magazines. With the average time to reload under a second this does nothing but limit the amount of round sl am allowed to carry in a single magazine to protect my family.

Also It states "hunting" is exempt. But what about hiking? As Oregonians we hike in the same areas we hunt. Many time to scout prospective areas in preparation for next fall's hunting season. Am I supposed to not carry my Bear defense gun because it has a magazine of 15 rounds hiking? But hunting the same gun is A OK. The Bear don't care what time of year it is. The bear will attack, the only difference is you will have removed the ability for myself to stop the attack. How does this increase public safety?

This bill is very contrary to public safety. If one cannot properly defend themselves from a mass shooter or a large predator whats the real point.

As towards the pre license requirement. I have been told that requiring a ID to vote is racist, but making all not only show ID but take additional training and the scrutiny of multiple background checks. All to protect ones life and family. How is that not racist to those already marginalized. Again it certainly doesn't make that individual safer.

Again I am full opposition to this bill
Thank you