

Submitter: Jeffrey Goodman  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: House Committee On Judiciary  
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3075

My name is Jeffrey , and I am writing to express my strong opposition to House Bill 3075. This bill imposes unnecessary and unconstitutional restrictions on law-abiding citizens seeking to exercise their Second Amendment rights. Rather than improving public safety, HB 3075 creates undue burdens on responsible gun owners while failing to address the root causes of crime and violence.

#### 1. The Permit System is an Unconstitutional Barrier to Firearm Ownership

The Second Amendment affirms the right of the people to keep and bear arms. HB 3075 extends the bureaucratic hurdles imposed by Measure 114, making it even more difficult for law-abiding citizens to purchase a firearm. Increasing the permit processing timeframe from 30 to 60 days—and potentially up to 120 days in some cases—effectively denies Oregonians their right to self-defense.

#### 2. Disproportionate Impact on Low-Income and Rural Oregonians

The proposed fee increases for obtaining a permit disproportionately impact those in lower-income communities. Additionally, many rural Oregonians lack access to in-person training facilities, which HB 3075 fails to account for. The bill does little to ensure that the required training is accessible to all citizens, creating an unfair financial and logistical barrier.

#### 3. Extending the Permit Requirement Delay Proves Its Ineffectiveness

By delaying the implementation of the permit system until July 1, 2026 (or 2028 in some cases), lawmakers are admitting that the system is not ready to function effectively. If the permit system cannot be implemented in a timely manner without causing chaos, it is a sign that it should be reconsidered entirely. Instead of continuing to fund a flawed system, lawmakers should focus on enforcing existing laws against violent criminals.

#### 4. Public Records Exemption Raises Transparency Concerns

While the bill proposes to keep permit holders' information confidential, it does not address concerns about government overreach and data security. Given past issues with government databases being hacked or misused, Oregonians have a right to question whether their personal data will remain protected.

#### Conclusion

House Bill 3075 is an ineffective and unconstitutional attempt to make firearm ownership more difficult for law-abiding citizens. It places undue burdens on responsible gun owners, disproportionately affects disadvantaged communities, and

fails to provide any tangible benefits to public safety. Instead of restricting the rights of lawful citizens, Oregon should focus on enforcing existing laws against violent criminals.

For these reasons, I urge you to oppose HB 3075. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,  
Jeffrey Goodman