

Lucas Galloway's testimony of Oregon's HB 3075-1

I am very concerned about this HB 3075-1, not only is it a clear violation of the 2nd Amendment of the Bill of Rights of the United States of America and Article 1. Section 27 of the Oregon Constitution, it is a very alarming violation of the Supreme Court ruling on *Murdock vs. Pennsylvania* which declares it unconstitutional to require a permit to exercise Constitutional rights. It is an egregious act to make law abiding citizens go through such a strenuous burdening process to exercise a right given by their creator. If the State of Oregon can regulate who can buy a gun with a permit, that sets a precedent that they can do it for Free Speech, Religion, and Expression along with any other Constitutional Rights. I would like the Politicians to consider that this could be a threat to each party by suppression of Free Speech. This can easily become something much worse, and I plead with you all that this is a very bad idea and to vote to oppose this unlawful and unconstitutional bill.

Furthermore, regarding Measure 114 as well, we live in a Constitutional Republic that uses Democratic Processes, we do not live in a Democracy, the Democratic process does not apply to Constitutional Rights. The founding fathers knew this from what they had learned from Socrates, Democracy by itself will only lead to Tyranny of the 51% ruling the 49%. If there is a group of 10 people and one of them owns a bike, is it lawful for the 9 to force a vote to take the bike away from the individual? The majority wanted a vote, 9 to 1 the bike doesn't belong to the one who purchased it. No, that is tyranny. It is unlawful to bring a Constitutional right to the chopping block of the mob, that is the whole point of having a Constitution. If you think that this is okay, would it be safe to say you think that Socrates got a fair trial? It was Democracy that made him drink the Hemlock after all.