Submitter:	BENJAMIN KASEF
Submitter.	DENJAMIN NASE

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Judiciary

Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3075

I was born in Oregon and live in Oregon. I have been a political Leftist my entire adult life. I strongly oppose this measure, HB 3075.

Passing HB 3075 will violate the rights of Oregonians while simultaneously financially burdening Oregon taxpayers with defending its constitutionality before the courts.

- 1) HB 3075 violates the Second Amendment by extending waiting periods, increasing fees, and adding a subjective sheriff-controlled permitting process. [NYSRPA v. Bruen, 597 U.S. 1 (2022).]
- 2) HB 3075 violates the ex post facto clause of the US Constitution by retroactively criminalizing possession of normal-capacity magazines purchased after 2022 but before this law is passed. [U.S. Const. art. I, § 10.]
- 3) HB 3075 violates the due process clause with the vague "likely to be a danger" permit denial, risking arbitrary and discriminatory enforcement. [U.S. Const. amends. V, XIV.]
- 4) HB 3075 violates the equal protection clause by disproportionately impacting lower-income and minority individuals due to increased fees and discretionary permitting, which historically have been applied unevenly across demographics. [U.S. Const. amend. XIV.]

I understand the acute desire to stop school shootings and firearm-related killings, but this bill will not accomplish those goals. This propose law, and Measure 114, are not based on data or case law, but simply anti-gun 'vibes.' I honestly do not comprehend the purpose of HB 3075, except to further frustrate the rights of law-abiding Oregonians -- and to cause Oregonians like me to cast my future votes for any party that opposes Democrats.

On a personal level, this month I changed my voter registration -- from being a lifelong Democrat -- to Unaffiliated. I do not want to be associated with feckless political theater like HB 3075. Please be reasonable and reject this proposed law.