| Submitter:                     | Timohy Blair                 |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| On Behalf Of:                  |                              |
| Committee:                     | House Committee On Judiciary |
| Measure, Appointment or Topic: | HB3075                       |

I believe that HB 3075 is unconstitutional and unjust to make a law to prohibit lawful people from owning, using and possessing something for lawful purposes because there are some people that use the same thing in a manner that is illegal. IManage how bad this would be if we applied this to what a criminal dose with any other amendment of the constitution. Also, if someone wants to own magazines that holds more than ten rounds it should not be infringed upon. If there is going to be a magazine band, then they should grandfather in and there should not be a possession band, nor should anyone be charged with a crime for owning any. The legislature should not be able to make the magazine band retroactive either. I believe the Judge in Harny County ruled correctly and their probable have been people buying firearms that come standard with magazines that can hold more than ten rounds and people should not get in trouble over it if people have bought anything that came with those magazine sizes, nor should they get in trouble for buying magazines that hold more than ten rounds, because they have been available to legally buy after Dec 8th 2022. Also are legal system is based on an individual is presumed innocent until proven guilty, which means the burden of proof falls on the state and not on the accused. This should be the same standard to be applied to someone that is in possession of a magazine that can hold more than ten rounds if a band takes place. If a magazine band were to take effect people that have any of the band ones should have options to be able to hand over to law enforcement without being placed under arrest nor should a person be charged with a crime for doing so nor should a person be if they are in the prosses of moving out of state, no matter how long that prosses takes or if the person is moving. In regard to the permit to purchases portion I believe that this too is unconstitutional. Why would it be okay to purchase certain types of firearms without a permeant to purchase and not other types, such as handguns or semiautomatic firearms. If a person can passe the form 4473 then they should be able to purchase any legally made firearm without a permeate to purchase. If the concern is that people carrying firearms without training, you need a concealed handgun license and to get that in Oregon you need to take a class that includes firearms safety in it. That should take care the concern of that safety. There for this should take away the need for both 114 and HB 3075.