Oregon Constitution / Bill of Rights, Article 1 - Section 27:

Right to bear arms; military subordinate to civil power. The people shall have the right to bear arms for the defense [sic] of themselves, and the State, but the Military shall be kept in strict subordination to the civil power[.]

US Constitution, 2nd Amendment:

A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.

Measure 114 & HB 3075 are both unconstitutional & extremely worrying for the future of our rights as citizens. These so called "Common Sense" gun laws are over reaching at best & nothing more than a way for the state to make more money off of us, as well as assert more control where they should not have it.

Any focus on gun control should be on keeping them out of the hands of criminals, not lawabiding citizens. Measure 114 requires implementation of permits to purchase / transfer firearms. Limits magazine capacity to 10 rounds & above all, allows for our government officials to retain information on <u>citizens who will be required to apply for government permission</u> to exercise our **Constitutional Right to Bear Arms**.

To get a permit you'll need the following...

- Application submission
 - o This application is required to be signed in front of a law enforcement / permit agent
 - Fingerprinting & Photograph acceptance
 - HB 3075 rewords any investigation to an investigation to determine if the applicant meets requirements for approval. Which still leaves questions as to what lengths a permit agent will be allowed to go to, to deem if someone can be approved or not.
 - \circ HB 3075 also increases time to approve or deny from 30 days to 60 days
 - Even current concealed carry rules don't allow for that long of a time frame
 - ORS 166.292 allows the Sheriff 45 days to review and issue the permit on a CHL application.
- Criminal background check
 - \circ $\;$ These are already conducted at time of sale in the state of Oregon
 - o Making this requirement redundant to processes already in place.
- A Gun Safety course that must be conducted by Law Enforcement or others Certified by Law Enforcement
 - o Gun safety courses without measure 114 are only required for Concealed Carry Permit holders

- However, there is no plan in place within Measure 114 or HB 3075 to fund these changes or provide Law Enforcement with a way to implement said changes or a time frame in which to implement said changes. Leaving citizens unable to fulfill this requirement. Thus leaving us with an indefinite block on access to our right to bear arms. Which goes against Article 1 Section 27 of our Bill of Rights, as well as our 2nd Amendment rights.
- Pay any & all applicable fees
 - HB 3075 will raise the initially stated \$65 max fee to \$150 max
 - \circ $\;$ HB 3075 also raises the renewal cost from the initially stated \$50 max fee to \$110 max $\;$
 - \circ $\;$ Just reenforcing the fact that this isn't about safety but rather profit.

If a citizen can somehow get an approval for a permit to purchase under Measure 114 with no time frame in place for Law Enforcement to get up to date with any & all implementation, the citizen is then required to go through yet another criminal background check to actually make a purchase. All of the requirements within Measure 114 & HB 3075 amount to nothing more than bureaucratic red tape & the denial of access to our **Constitutional Right to Bear Arms**.

HB 3075 Section 22, "Any action challenging the legality, including the constitutionality, of this 2025 Act must be commenced in the Circuit Court for Marion County."

- This alone should be considered unconstitutional!
- Citizens should have the right to bring up legality or constitutionality in any court deemed appropriate.

HB 3075 Section 24, "This 2025 Act being necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, an emergency is declared to exist, and this 2025 Act takes effect on its passage.

- The burden of proof to consider any kind of emergency clause needs to be met & the "reasons" behind Measure 114 & HB 3075 do not meet the burden of proof in the eyes of any citizens that oppose these so called "Common Sense" gun laws.
- There is nothing to do with common sense in the decisions made within Measure 114 or HB 3075
- Common Sense would be to start teaching gun safety in our schools again & stop spreading fear.

Instead of going after law-abiding citizens rights, we should be increasing programs that can help those in mental crisis get the help they need, which would significantly reduce suicide rates. Increase police presence in areas with high crime & find ways to mitigate the crimes that are happening there so we can find actual solutions to human problems. And above all, we should be educating everyone, especially younger generations on gun safety. The smartest way to do that would be to put it back into schools. We should be teaching our communities how to be safe with & around guns, rather than to be afraid of what they can do in the wrong hands. Respect for any weapon is of the utmost importance. Not only respect for the tragedies that they can cause but the ones they can prevent. By perpetrating fear all we are doing is crippling our communities.

Guns and high-capacity magazines are not the problem, people are. To solve gun violence, we need to find ways to solve human centered issues which lead to the use of guns in violent acts. Along with finding ways to remove guns from the hands of criminals. Limiting gun or ammo capacity access to law-abiding citizens will not prevent criminals from accessing guns or other weapons when intent on committing a violent act.

Reference:

https://www.oregonlegislature.gov/bills_laws/Pages/OrConst.aspx

- Oregon Constitution
- Measure 114
- HB 3075

https://constitution.congress.gov/constitution/amendment-2/

2nd amendment