

Submitter: Pamela Dickson

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Climate, Energy, and Environment

Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3477

I am writing to state my objection to HB3477.

It appears that what is being done is the changing of terms from “global warming” to a more subtle “climate change” wording. Is there any explanation as to why the words are being substituted? It would appear that there is a felt need to get away from the more emotion charged words, but there is really no difference in what is being propagated. It is still the same fear-based theories which have been forced on us for years now.

On line 2 on page 2, it states: (2) “In partnership with the Governor’s advisory group, 50 scientists signed the “Scientific Consensus Statement on the Likely Impacts of Climate Change on the Pacific Northwest,””

Has anyone asked to see the qualifications of these so called, 50 scientists? Who are they and what is their area of expertise? And what about the words “Likely Impacts”. These are just more “experts” sharing their theories and ideas of what might likely happen.

So why are we forcing our citizens to submit to all these expensive, mind-numbing recommendations when there are obviously 50 scientists out there who don’t agree with those from the Governor’s advisory group? Our government has been forcing this theory of global warming on us now for years without any concrete science. They are projections, based on computer generated “likely” outcomes.

Oh yes, and by all means declare an emergency! Oh please, this is like the boy who called “wolf”.

In case you aren’t aware, Climate Change has happened and is happening and is a very normal thing. It is not something to fear or make mandates on us about. We can be good stewards of our earth and natural resources without adopting these crazy theories that are making a certain segment of our society rich while forcing us to make unnecessary, expensive and frankly ridiculous changes which don’t matter in the total scope of things.

Please bring sanity back to our state and vote NO on HB3477.

Respectfully submitted,