

## Water League engages the public in water stewardship.

P.O. Box 1033 Cave Junction, OR 97523

March 2, 2025

chris@waterleague.org (541) 415-8010

To: House Committee On Agriculture, Land Use, Natural Resources, and Water

Board of Directors

Representative Ken Helm, Co-Chair Representative Mark Owens, Co-Chair

President Gerald Allen Representative Sarah Finger McDonald, Vice-Chair

Vice President

Representatives Court Boice, Annessa Hartman, Bobby Levy, Pam Marsh,

Susan McLain, Anna Scharf

Gordon Lyford

RE: Water League supports HB 3346, which Requires the Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) to study siting of energy facilities in designated critical ground water areas.

Secretary
Tracey Reed

Dear Co-Chairs Helm and Owens, Vice-Chair Finger McDonald, and committee members,

*Treasurer* Linda Pace

Christine Perala Gardiner

William Joerger

Dan Wahpepah

e an wanpepan

Executive Director Christopher Hall Water League supports the concept of replacing irrigation water uses in Critical Groundwater Areas with non-carbon-based energy production, such as solar and wind. We recognize the sanctity of Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) land, and support the concept of a solar and wind farms as a reasonable alternatives to a crop farms. We support the replacement of all eligible irrigable lands to solar and wind when the negative impacts are not unreasonable.

**In Memoriam** John L. Gardiner

Our support presumes that the DLCD study would address many important questions related to transmission/ interconnection, property taxes, impacts to flora and fauna, impacts to communities in the region (including economic), impacts to Indigenous ancestral lands, and how EFU zoned lands will adapt to preserve the same character.

In particular, we support resolving questions about:

- •How irrigation and solar uses coincide, where a center pivot on a quarter section (160 acres) that leaves 31.4 acres dry on the 4 corners (7.85 acres) can host energy production;
- •How cancellation of water rights that authorize water use on larger expanses, such as entire center pivot irrigation places of use, can host energy production;
- •How local electrical connections among disparate locations, both large and small, will connect to statewide transmission systems. Is existing electrical distribution infrastructure capable, in part, of supporting energy production; if not, what is the scale of new infrastructure?
- •How EFU lands will retain their rural character given the industrial nature of solar power infrastructure;
- •How non-irrigation lands would integrate into the program to replace irrigation with energy production.

Although we have concerns about another bill, HB 3112, which buys out worthless water rights in CGWAs, we do support the concept of using funds from water right cancellations in CGWAs to fund the energy production facilities outlined in HB 3346.

We may submit additional testimony when the -1 amendment has been published clarifying related questions about the proposed details on replacing irrigation with energy production in regions where the state has designated a CGWA.

Sincerely,

Christopher Hall

**Executive Director** 

Gordon Lyford

Vice-President, CWRE #341

Gordon R Tyfere