Submitter:	Connie Shipley
On Behalf Of:	
Committee:	House Committee On Housing and Homelessness
Measure, Appointment or Topic:	HB2138

As the great granddaugher of Emil Schacht, one of the early architects of Portland, whose homes are created in many Historic Districts and designated homes in Portland, I want any wording diminishing Historic Districts to be deleted from the bill. Rather than raze the buildings, a review can determine if the building can be adapted to be a muli- unit building, keeping the ambiance of the neighborhood, and potentially be more practical and less costly through the reuse of the original building.

Oregon has over 138 National Register Historic Districts and thousands of designated homes across our state. If retained, the section of the bill would greatly impact our historically significant places, potentially leading to unrestricted demolition. This new rule would eliminate the minimal protection of a process that is designed to review and balance the needs of local communities and their historic homes and places.

Demolition review does NOT equal automatic demolition denial. It is a weighing of the public benefit of retention vs replacement.

Demolition review is the only protection we offer in Oregon - to remove it will nullify Oregon's Land Use Goal 5 for historic resources.

Removing demolition review denies the public a voice (counter to State Land Use Goal 1). The community or stakeholder group should have an opportunity to weigh in on places that matter to them and reflect their heritage. Should the developer be the only voice?

The Demolition Review process has been shown to balance the public benefit and often times has helped create more housing, not hindering it.

As written, Section 22(1)(f) has no requirement that middle housing or affordable housing replace the demolished historic structure. It has NO connection to the bill's goal.

Historic designation requires rigorous research and vetting to prove cultural significance. They should not be erased without careful consideration.

No protection + no restoration & reuse incentives means Oregon is dead last in the U.S. for stewardship of its heritage places

Demolition is forever and more demolition works against our climate goals Contributing structures play a vital role in maintaining the historical integrity and character of a district. Removing or altering them can change the district's historical narrative and erode its authenticity.

We can move the needle for increased housing in heritage areas by creating an inclusive "both-and" strategy that would add more units within designated historic areas through an incentive package for adapting existing residential, to multi-unit

housing in non-contributing properties, adding ADU's, offering affordability incentives to retain existing affordable heritage properties and more.

Low-carbon strategies that add affordable housing should be a priority for climate action over climate-impactful demolition and resource-intensive costly new construction whenever possible. Oregon needs all the tools in our toolbox for housing including a state rehab tax incentive that 39 other states use.