

Submitter: Prof Dave White

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Agriculture, Land Use, Natural Resources, and Water

Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3103

Strip logging is sustainable. Clear cutting is not. The News media has been untruthful about climate change for 40 years!

Climate: The Movie (The Cold Truth)

<https://youtube.com/watch?v=zmfRG8-RHEI>

How to destroy a perfectly good electricity grid in one million expensive steps

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pBo7KMbgf6E>

As you may know, the key figures perpetuating these lies are all the familiar suspects. Bill Gates, Klaus Schwab, George Soros, and now Jane Goodall, are advocating for a drastic reduction in the world's population by 2030. I know that sounds extreme, but please watch this video before it's taken down.

<https://youtube.com/watch?v=MFV0QVO2T3U> or https://cctruth.org/jane_goodall_remove_people.mp4

Science is never settled! Climate Change is about fear mongering and removing people from the earth.

<https://x.com/tomanelson/status/1740356736643400074> Podcast with Tom Nelson who has 15,000 followers for the college textbook we published for environmental science.

Lawsuit <https://cctruth.org/complaint%20filed.pdf> and injunction

<https://cctruth.org/injunction%20filed.pdf> filed against Oregon State University for refusing to choose Dave White to finish his PhD (22 credits) and teach the 2nd edition textbook.

Three recent Supreme Court rulings in it.

1. The EPA cant regulate Greenhouse gases

2. Affirmative action and DEI are illegal

3. All courts must be Article three of the US constitution courts.

The book they are teaching is not an environmental science textbook.

On 10/25/24 we filed 1-24-CV-1300-

MC_COMPLAINT_FOR_BIAS_AND_JUDICIAL_ERROR.pdf in the case.

Now docket 24-6787 in the 9th circuit court

Everybody knows that 97% of the world's scientists agree with the IPCC that the earth is warming at an alarming rate, right? Let's take a look. The 97% consensus figure was derived from three hundred and thirty manuscripts published between 2009 and 2012 which were pro the false agenda that were cherry-picked for review by the IPCC. Excluded from the review and survey of scientists were more than seven hundred manuscripts written by scientists who presented statistics and conclusions different from those desired. That reduces agreement from 97% to 33%.

If IPCC fails this basic test of statistical sampling, how can we rely on what they are telling us about climate change? <http://Cctruth.org> part of chapter 13 in the textbooks.