Why Reparations Are Fundamentally Flawed

✓ Selective Justice Ignores Other Historical Sacrifices

- If the argument is that **past injustices require compensation today, then why not compensate the families of those who fought and died to end slavery?**
- Union soldiers gave their lives for the abolition of slavery—should their descendants not receive reparations for their loss?

✓ Reparations Undermine the Core Principle of Individual Responsibility

- The Republic was built on the principle that **individuals are not guilty or responsible for the actions of their ancestors.**
- Reparations assign collective guilt to one group and collective victimhood to another, fueling resentment instead of unity.

✓ Endless Claims for Historical Grievances

- If reparations are justified for African Americans, then what about **Irish indentured** servants, Japanese internment victims, Native Americans, and others who suffered injustices?
- At what point does the cycle of compensation end?

✓ Americans Have Already Paid the Price

- The Civil War itself was the payment—600,000 lives lost, the South devastated, and the economy rebuilt.
- The U.S. has spent trillions on welfare, affirmative action, and social programs aimed at addressing racial disparities.

Bottom Line

- 🞽 Reparations ignore the sacrifices made by Union soldiers and their descendants.
- Letter the promote collective guilt and division instead of fostering national unity.

i If past wrongs justify reparations, where does it stop? Should every group demand compensation for historical grievances?

The real solution isn't handouts—it's preserving the Republic and ensuring equal opportunity for all, regardless of ancestry.