

Submitter: Richard Wisner

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Climate, Energy, and Environment

Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3261

February 19th 2025

House Committee on Climate, Energy and Environment

Re: House Bill 3261

Dear Chair Representative Lively, Vice-Chairs Representative Gamba and Levy and members of the Committee;

I am opposed to passing House Bill 3261 for the simple reason that this is a make work idea. We do not need yet another Task Force to break down reasons why renewable diesel may or may not be a good idea, particularly since the green energy fantasy seems to have captured most of the legislature for the last several years. In fact, I would bet on it.

There is little doubt said task force will conclude:

1. that renewable diesel will be readily available.
2. that current and future demand for renewable diesel can only increase.
3. incentives (could they be tax breaks and rebates?) will be found to be the way to proceed.

And it is practically a certainty said task force will determine:

1. removing petroleum diesel from the state marketplace can only have wonderful implications.

My opinion will probably fall on deaf ears but I write only as a matter of self defense. When the green energy fantasy begins to crumble, as it already is in many places world wide, having a "study" done by an (unbiased of course) task force to point a finger at, simply gives those that supported such self-justification for their actions. It is much better to stop bad legislation than it is to pass good legislation. While HB 3261 may sound good, it is bad legislation.

Thank you,
Richard Wisner