

Submitter: Richard Lewman  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: House Committee On Behavioral Health and Health Care  
Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3042

I oppose this measure for the following reasons

#### Vague Ethics

Standards: Could punish doctors for challenging vaccine mandates or public health policies.

#### "Danger to Public"

Clause: Allows subjective enforcement-practitioners who question mainstream narratives could lose their licenses.

#### Forced Psychiatric Evaluations:

The Board can label dissenting doctors

"unfit" and force them into costly mental health exams.

#### Punishment for "Misinformation":

Who decides what's false?

Doctors speaking about evolving science could be penalized.

#### No Protection from Political Retaliation:

Activists or officials could weaponize complaints against doctors with controversial views.

#### Chilling Effect on Medical Freedom:

This bill could silence alternative medicine & integrative practitioners who deviate from the "conventional standards" or government-approved narratives.

#### What Needs to Change:

Remove vague language like "recognized standard of ethics" and "danger to the public."

Include free speech protections so practitioners cannot be targeted for challenging mandates or policies.

Require due process before forcing evaluations-doctors must not be subjected to psychiatric exams for political reasons.

Ensure disciplinary actions are tied to patient harm, not dissenting opinions.

HB 3042 must be amended to include clearer definitions, due process protections, and a fairer review process.

Remove provisions that allow forced medical testing without a clear evidentiary standard.

Ensure all healthcare professionals (not just NDs) are held to the same disciplinary standards.