

Submitter: Tracy Spelgatti

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Higher Education and Workforce
Development

Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB3446

Good morning, I am writing my testimony in favor of defining the meaning of required requirements for Career Pathway funding for Secondary CTE. The current interpretation does not fit the original intent for this funding. I want the language and ruling to reflect that a student must receive 3 credits within the same CTE Program of Study, a Concentrator, for the points in any area to qualify for the funds. With the increase in number of CTE Programs within our schools across the state, the Career Pathway funds have become small and not an incentive to retain students within a program. Those students who spend the time earning 3 credits, with at least one at an advance level, are more likely to graduate and have skills to go directly into workforce or college.