Good Morning Senate Committee Members,

My name is **Twila McDonald**, and I am a current member of the **Umpqua Community College (UCC) Board of Education**. I am here to express my **opposition to Senate Bill 478 as written**, particularly regarding the addition of a **voting student member** to community college boards.

As someone who lives in Yoncalla—a town with fewer than 1,000 residents—I understand what it means to feel underrepresented. I also serve on Yoncalla's K-12 school board, where ensuring all voices are heard is a constant priority. I deeply appreciate efforts to expand representation, but mandating a voting student member is not the most effective or equitable solution. I urge you to consider the following key concerns:

1. Residency & Election Integrity Concerns

- Community college board members **must reside within the taxing district** because they are elected to serve **local taxpayers**. However, under this bill, a student board member:
 - Would **not** be required to live within the district—or even in the state.
 - o Could be attending remotely from anywhere.
 - Would be elected through student government elections, which do not follow the same legal requirements as public elections.
- Unlike other board members, this student representative would not be required to be a
 registered voter in Oregon or even meet a minimum age requirement. I have personally known
 high school seniors under 18 who attend UCC full-time. This lack of standardization raises
 concerns about election integrity and fairness in governance.

2. Exclusion of Part-Time Students

- Most community college students in Oregon—and over 65% of students at UCC—are part-time. Yet this bill limits the voting student representative to full-time students, excluding the majority of the student body from this position.
- Community colleges serve a diverse range of students:
 - o Part-time learners balancing jobs and education.
 - High school students earning college credits.
 - Local business owners and employees seeking workforce training.
 - o Community members engaging in enrichment courses.
- Unlike four-year universities, our primary mission extends beyond full-time degree seekers.
 Elevating the voice of one subgroup while excluding others is not truly representative governance.

3. Alternative Solutions for Student Representation

Rather than a mandated voting member, I urge you to consider more practical and equitable solutions:

- 1. Amending the bill to require a student board member to reside in the district and be a registered voter in Oregon.
 - This would help ensure local representation and election integrity.
- 2. Creating a new "voting district" for student elections, adhering to public election laws.
 - However, this could be logistically difficult and might create more challenges than solutions.
- 3. Mandating an ex-officio (advisory) student role instead of a voting seat.
 - This would allow student participation without disrupting established governance structures.
- 4. Removing the mandated student board member provision entirely.
- 4. UCC's Commitment to Student Voices

At UCC, we already prioritize student input through:

- Student government associations and regular surveys.
- Board meeting participation and student representation on committees.
- **Direct student choice**—students express their preferences by selecting their **schools, classes,** and programs.

Colleges that fail to listen to students will only **hurt themselves** in the long run. We recognize this, and our policies reflect it.

Conclusion

I respectfully urge the authors of this bill to collaborate with all community colleges to find a solution that supports true democratic representation while maintaining fairness, integrity, and practicality. A one-size-fits-all mandate does not serve the diverse needs of Oregon's community colleges.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Thank you for your time and service.

Sincerely,
Twila McDonald

541-580-1738