

Submitter: NANCY K Anderson

On Behalf Of:

Committee: House Committee On Housing and Homelessness

Measure, Appointment or Topic: HB2400

Dear Chair Marsh, Vice-Chair Andersen, Vice-Chair Breese-Iverson, and members of the House Committee on Housing and Homelessness,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on HB 2400, HB 2422, and HB 2316. I am writing to urge you to vote against these three bills.

I am an Oregonian who opposes HB 2400, HB 2422, and HB 2316. These bills will negatively affect the agricultural, forested, and public lands that sustain our communities. These bills disregard existing laws, especially our land use laws that encourage smart growth and the protection of agricultural and forest lands that Oregonians rely on for food, fiber, and jobs.

Specifically:

HB 2400 would fragment Oregon's agricultural and forest lands by allowing an additional house on every rural property. It is written in a way to encourage short-term resale, with no safeguards for protecting these valuable lands that feed our communities. People who want or need an extra home on their rural property already have a variety of mechanisms to secure such a home, including seven different ways on farm land and 6 ways on forest lands. Also, Oregon law already allows new homes for relatives of agricultural and forest land managers and additional new homes for unrelated farmworkers.

HB 2422 would attempt to create sprawl from the outside in by allowing counties to bypass land use laws to more than double the density of certain rural lands with case-by-case rezoning. It also bypasses critical systems that ensure everyone, not just well-financed individual landowners, have access to the planning process. Our land use planning program intentionally includes safeguards, encouraging development where it is less costly, where sufficient infrastructure exists, where people have access to equitable transportation options, and where agricultural and forestry operations won't be impacted. HB2422 encourages Oregon to ignore unintended negative consequences on our precious resources.

HB 2316 would disregard our sensitive natural areas on which Oregon has built a national reputation. Housing development sprawl outside UGBs on public lands is expensive, adversely impacts natural resources, and does not meet our housing needs. Lands outside our cities and towns will require significant infrastructure costs to become viable for building homes, and this bill would unnecessarily burden the state with those costs – before housing is even built. There are smarter, more

affordable ways to build housing. We should look at surplus public lands inside UGBs for housing development – where people can walk to school, see their neighborhood doctor, pick up produce from the corner grocer, and live within a thriving community.

Thank you for allowing this opportunity for public input and for considering my comments.