



NYU

ARTS &
SCIENCE

Center for Environmental
and Animal Protection

February 5, 2025

House Committee on Agriculture, Land Use, Natural Resources, and Water
Oregon State Legislature
900 Court St. NE
Salem, OR 97301

RE: Support for HB 2557

Dear Co-Chairs Helm and Owens and Vice Chair McDonald and Members of the Committee:

We appreciate the opportunity to submit this letter¹ in strong support of HB 2557, which would prohibit persons in the state of Oregon from raising octopuses for human consumption or taking part in the sale of octopuses raised for human consumption. Octopuses are highly sensitive and intelligent animals with distinct environmental and social needs that are not easily replicable in artificial conditions. In addition to serious concerns about welfare, commercial octopus farming would pose significant and unnecessary threats to wildlife, the environment, and public health in Oregon. We applaud the Committee's efforts to preemptively ban this inhumane, unhealthful, and unsustainable practice, consistent with the state's strong track record of leadership on such issues and commitment to policy making rooted in the best-available science.

Octopuses are highly sensitive and intelligent animals who are “capable of experiencing pain, stress, and fear,”² making them especially unsuitable for intensive farming. There is growing consensus within the scientific community that octopuses are sentient beings. Indeed, a recent government-commissioned independent review of over 300 existing scientific studies found that “there is strong evidence of sentience in octopods” and further concluded that “high-welfare octopus farming is impossible.”³ These findings are consistent with a recent declaration by more than 500 experts across the world that “the empirical evidence indicates at least a realistic possibility of consciousness” in cephalopod mollusks like octopuses, and that “when there is a realistic possibility of conscious experience in an animal, it is irresponsible to ignore that possibility in decisions affecting that animal.”⁴

¹ The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not purport to represent the views, if any, of the Center for Environmental and Animal Protection, New York University or New York University School of Law. Individual titles and institutional affiliations are provided solely for identification purposes.

² Offenses Against General Welfare and Animals, Or. Rev. Stat. § 167.305(1).

³ Jonathan Birch et al., *Review of the Evidence of Sentience in Cephalopod Molluscs and Decapod Crustaceans*, LSE Consulting, London School of Economics and Political Science (2021), <https://www.lse.ac.uk/business/consulting/reports/review-of-the-evidence-of-sentiences-in-cephalopod-molluscs-and-decapod-crustaceans>; see also Jennifer Mather, *The Case for Octopus Consciousness: Valence*, 3 *NeuroSci* 656 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.3390/neurosci3040047>.

⁴ The New York Declaration on Animal Consciousness, New York University (Apr. 19, 2024), <https://sites.google.com/nyu.edu/nydeclaration/declaration>.

Their complex environmental and social needs make it impossible for octopuses to be raised humanely in aquacultural settings. Octopuses are highly intelligent and curious animals who have the ability to solve puzzles, use tools, learn, and play.⁵ As a result, they have high enrichment needs that simply cannot be met in farming environments.⁶ Octopuses raised in captivity regularly exhibit stress-related behaviors as a result of a lack of cognitive stimulation, including repetitive “darting” behaviors and self-harm.⁷ Moreover, octopuses are typically solitary by nature and have been known to act aggressively towards other octopuses and even cannibalize each other when confined together.⁸ Intensive confinement in barren, crowded spaces—as is typically present in the farming operations contemplated by this bill—would inevitably cause significant suffering among those individuals, raising serious welfare concerns.

Octopus farming is inconsistent with the state of Oregon’s environmental priorities and would pose a risk to public health. Octopuses are carnivorous apex predators who prey on live animals such as crabs, shrimp, and mollusks, and supplying food to farmed octopuses would only put additional pressure on already overexploited fish populations.⁹ Aquaculture is also known to pose serious risks to local ecosystems, producing wastes (e.g. uneaten food, feces, and deceased animals) and nutrient pollution (e.g. carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus) that can contaminate water used for human consumption. Moreover, commercial aquaculture farms are breeding grounds for bacteria and parasites that can spread to humans and other animals, and farmed octopuses may be particularly susceptible to disease due to stress, poor water quality, and poor nutrition.¹⁰ Using antibiotics to combat these pathogens—as is common practice in commercial aquaculture—further exacerbates the risk of multi-drug bacterial resistance.

⁵ Meghan M. Holst & Tim Miller-Morgan, The Use of a Species-Specific Health and Welfare Assessment Tool for the Giant Pacific Octopus, *Enteroctopus dofleini*, 24(3) *J. of Applied Animal Welfare Science* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888705.2020.1809412>.

⁶ See e.g. Jennifer A Mather & Ludovic Dickel, *Cephalopod complex cognition*, 16 *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences* 131 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.06.008>; Julian K. Finn, Tom Tregenza & Mark D. Norman, Defensive tool use in a coconut-carrying octopus, 19(23) *Current Biology* R1069 (2009), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.10.052>; David Scheel et al., *A second site occupied by Octopus tetricus at high densities, with notes on their ecology and behavior*, 50(4) *Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and Physiology* 285 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1080/10236244.2017.1369851>; Jacquet et al., *The case against octopus farming*, 35(2) *Issues in Science and Technology* 37 (2019), <https://issues.org/the-case-against-octopus-farming>.

⁷ See Gavan M. Cooke, Belinda M. Tonkins & Jennifer A. Mather, *Care and enrichment for captive cephalopods*, in *The Welfare of Invertebrate Animals* (Claudio Carere & Jennifer Mather eds. 2019), <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-13947-6>; Graziano Fiorito et al., *Guidelines for the Care and Welfare of Cephalopods in Research: A consensus based on an initiative by CephRes, FELASA and the Boyd Group*, 49:2 *Laboratory Animals* 1 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1177/002367721555800>; Paul L.R. Andrews et al., *The identification and management of pain, suffering and distress in cephalopods, including anaesthesia, analgesia and humane killing*, 447 *J. of Experimental Marine Biology & Ecology* 46 (2013), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2013.02.010>.

⁸ Christian M. Ibáñez & Friedemann Keyl, *Cannibalism in cephalopods*, 20 *Reviews in Fish Biology & Fisheries*, 123 (2010), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-009-9129-y>; Christopher K. Pham & Eduardo Isidro, *Growth and Mortality of Common Octopus (Octopus vulgaris) Fed a Monospecific Fish Diet*, 28(3) *J. of Shellfish Research* 617 (2009), <https://doi.org/10.2983/035.028.0326>. See also Jacquet et al. (2019), *supra* note 6.

⁹ Jennifer Jacquet et al., *The octopus mind and the argument against farming it*, 26(19) *Animal Sentience* 1 (2019), <http://dx.doi.org/10.51291/2377-7478.1504>.

¹⁰ See e.g. Ivona Mladineo & Mladen Jozić, *Aggregata infection in the common octopus, Octopus vulgaris (Linnaeus, 1758), Cephalopoda: Octopodidae, reared in a flow-through system*, 46(2) *Acta Adriatica* 193 (2005), <https://acta.izor.hr/ojs/index.php/acta/article/view/118>.

This ban is unlikely to have adverse effects on the state of Oregon’s existing agricultural economy or on vulnerable communities. There are currently no commercial octopus farming operations in the state of Oregon, nor are we aware of any plans to develop such an operation. Therefore a preemptive prohibition on such operations is unlikely to harm the state’s existing agricultural industry. Separately, it is important to also note that octopus is not a staple source of nutrition in the state of Oregon; farmed octopus is expensive to produce and widely considered a luxury food item. As such, a prohibition on the production and sale of farmed octopus is not likely to exacerbate food insecurity in vulnerable communities. This ban will thus take a significant step towards protecting animal welfare, public health, and the environment without adverse effects on farmers, workers, or consumers.

Through its leadership on this issue, Oregon joins a growing cohort of governments¹¹ that have acted to ban the raising and selling of octopus for human consumption in response to growing concerns within the scientific community about risks to animal welfare, public health, and the environment.¹² By enacting this bill, Oregon would not only improve conditions for its own constituents but also send a strong message to other states who are considering similar legislative action, and to the federal government, where Congress is considering new legislation that would create a nationwide ban on commercial octopus farming and imports of farmed octopus from other countries. Both because this ban would have positive effects for Oregon and because it would build momentum toward positive change nationwide, we urge the Committee to take steps towards enacting HB 2257 into law.

We thank you again for your leadership and for considering our comments in your deliberations.

Sincerely,

Becca Franks

Assistant Professor of Environmental Studies
Director, WATR-lab
Co-Director, Wild Animal Welfare Program
New York University
beccafranks@nyu.edu

Adalene Minelli

Senior Fellow, Guarini Center on Environmental, Energy & Land Use Law
New York University School of Law
Research Fellow, Wild Animal Welfare Program
Research Fellow, Center for Environmental and Animal Protection
New York University
adalene.minelli@nyu.edu

¹¹ California and Washington have both passed laws banning octopus farming, and similar legislation has been introduced in Hawai'i. [H.B. 1153](#), 68th Leg., Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2023); [A.B. 3162](#), 2023-2024 Leg., Reg. Sess. (Cal. 2024); [H.B. 2262](#), 32nd Leg., Reg. Sess. (Haw. 2024).

¹² See Jacquet et al. (2019), *supra* note 9.

Jeff Sebo

Associate Professor of Environmental Studies
Affiliated Professor of Bioethics, Medical Ethics, Philosophy, and Law
Director, Center for Environmental and Animal Protection
Director, Center for Mind, Ethics, and Policy
Co-Director, Wild Animal Welfare Program
Adjunct Professor of Law
New York University
jeffsebo@nyu.edu

Katrina Wyman

Wilf Family Professor of Property Law
Faculty Director, Guarini Center on Environmental, Energy & Land Use Law
New York University School of Law
katrina.wyman@nyu.edu

Mia MacDonald

Adjunct Instructor, Animal Studies M.A.
New York University
Executive Director, Brighter Green
macdonald@brightergreen.org / msm275@nyu.edu