Testimony on behalf of the Oregon Association for Talented and Gifted for SB 933

Senate Bill 933

- Adds reports on Talented and Gifted students at a state and district level
- Requires reports on student gains by ability, not just student achievement test scores
- Scores are a single point in time with no context
- Gains show student growth over the time students spend in the classroom



Understanding student achievement and accountability data

Margaret DeLacy

1/30/2025

Why does reporting Achievement Gains Matter?

Before the new statewide assessments (OSAS) were introduced, we used to see reports on student learning gains by ability level. For example, Portland produced summary reports every year that looked like this



PPS Research, Evaluation & Assessment-8/8/2013

These reports compared like with like: they compared students in the same grade who had performed *at the same benchmark level* in the same subject on the same assessment at the same time in the previous year.



These reports were easy to understand, did not involve complex and unknown equations, and did not assume that high-achieving students should be *expected* to make lower gains than other students.... Although that is often what they demonstrated.



They also showed that there were achievement gaps by ethnicity and income between students who had started at the same level in the same subject. These gaps were usually greatest among the highest-achieving students.

Portland Public Schools 2012-13 Achievement Gains

My comment: "The tables below break out the "exceeds" portion of the "Overview" graph by grade level, income and ethnicity. The students who exceeded make much smaller gains than students in other groups; in addition, there is a much greater difference between the gains among the groups of "exceeded" students than there is among the students in other ability groups. For example, if you look at the bar chart for students who were <u>"low-performing"</u> you will see that the bars for all students, Hispanic, Black, and Free-Reduced Meals are nearly the same length whereas among Exceeded students the bars for the final three groups are much shorter than the bars for all students."

Exceeds broken out by income and ethnicity

READING

	PPS	S F/R Me	eal Afr. Am	er Hispanic
Gra	de excee	ds exceed	ds exceed	ls exceeds
4	3.1	0.8	-0.6	1.7
5	-0.5	-1.3	-1.6	-1.2
6	0.8	-0.7	-1.7	0.3
7	3.4	1.9	-2.4	3.3
8	-2.9	-3.1	-3.4	-3.6
11	I 3.5	3.1	3.1	4.7

Reading gains by "Exceeds" students by income/ethnicity

Here is a graph of the same data



Looking just at scores can create misunderstandings.....



In 2001-3 most students at Jefferson HS were learning. The lowest achieving students were making extraordinary gains.



But Jefferson was criticized for poor performance and subjected to wave after wave of "reform."



No one noticed its real achievements with the students most at risk because they looked at scores, not gains.

Jefferson High School Portland Student **Gains** 2001-3

Reading Status	Jefferson Gain	PPS Gain	Mathematics Status	Jefferson Gain	PPS Gain
Very Low	27.0	19.8	Very Low	13.5	8.4
Low	9.2	7.8	Low	6.8	4.7
Nearly Meets	7.3	5.4	Nearly Meets	4.7	3.3
Meets	5.6	4.4	Meets	1.7	1.7
Exceeds	2.0	2.2	Exceeds	-3.3	-2.2
Average for all levels combined	7.4	4.1	Average for all levels combined	4.9	0.8

The new state dashboard is confusing

- The dashboard only shows student achievement test scores
- It is not clear about what level really represents "proficiency" or how that relates to our state standards
- It does not show student growth
- It can't compare students at the same learning level
- It includes Students with Disabilities and ELL students but not TAG students

New state "dashboard" for student achievement:

https://www.ode.state.or.us/ apps/OregonReportCard/Da shboard/Institution/2180

Is "level one" now "grade level performance with increasing accuracy and complexity"?

Achievement by Performance Level by Grade -All Students



4th Grade Achievement by Performance Level



Has proficiency moved down a level?

5th Grade Achievement by Performance Level



Performance by level—doesn't show growth

Math Performance by Level

Download as Spreadsheet Student Group Percent Level 1 Percent Level 2 Percent Level 3 Percent Level 4 All Students 31.8% 21.8% 20.9% 25.5% American Indian/Alaska Native 19.1% 70.8% 6.7% 3.4% Asian 27.5% 24.5% 20.9% 27.0% Black/African American 71.7% 19.1% 6.6% 2.6% Hispanic/Latino 54.2% 21.8% 14.0% 9.9% Multiracial 30.5% 22.3% 20.3% 26.9% Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander 70.7% 19.5% 7.5% 2.3% White 19.9% 21.8% 25.2% 33.0%

Who Counts in the New Dashboard?

- •Students experiencing poverty
- •English Learners
- •Student with IEPs....

But not

• Talented and Gifted students?

Achievement by Performance Level by Grade - All Students	
3rd Grade Achievement by Performance Level	
4th Grade Achievement by Performance Level	
5th Grade Achievement by Performance Level	
6th Grade Achievement by Performance Level	
7th Grade Achievement by Performance Level	
8th Grade Achievement by Performance Level	
11th Grade Achievement by Performance Level	
Supplemental Data Tables	
American Indian/Alaska Native Students - All Grades, All Content Areas	
Asian Students - All Grades, All Content Areas	
Black/African American Students - All Grades, All Content Areas	
Hispanic/Latino/a/x Students - All Grades, All Content Areas	
Multi-Racial Students - All Grades, All Content Areas	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Students - All Grades, All Content Areas	
White Students - All Grades, All Content Areas	
English Learners - All Grades, All Content Areas	
Students with IEPs - All Grades, All Content Areas	60
Students Experiencing Poverty - All Grades, All Content Areas	

Achievement Rates by Student Grou

	ELA			
Student Group	21-22	22-23	23-24	23/24 Chng
Students Experiencing Poverty			25.1	
English Learners	5.5	5.4	5.2	-0.2
Students with IEPs	16.3	16.0	16.2	+0.2
American Indian/Alaska Native	26.4	25.6	25.2	-0.4
Asian	63.6	62.5	61.8	-0.7
Black/African American	24.3	24.0	24.1	+0.1
Hispanic/Latino	26.9	26.5	25.8	-0.7
Multiracial	48.5	48.1	47.7	-0.4
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	25.1	22.9	21.0	-1.9
White	50.3	49.7	49.3	-0.4

The existing "at a glance" (!) district profile does include limited TAG information... but it doesn't show whether any student is learning



OREGON AT-A-GLANCE DISTRICT PROFILE CONTINUED

Portland SD 1J

Outcomes

OREGON

DEPARTMENT OF

Oregon achieves . . . together!

Our Staff (rounded FTE)		REGULAR ATTENDERS	
	American Indian/Alaska Native	35%	
100	Asian	77%	
	Black/African American	45%	
Administrators	Hispanic/Latino	51%	
	Multiracial	62%	
	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	38%	
2,695	White	69%	
Teachers	Students Experiencing Poverty	42%	
v Teachers	Ever English Learner	57%	
	Students with Disabilities	56%	
672	Migrant	49%	
673	Homeless	25%	
Educational	Students in Foster Care	49%	
assistants	Military Connected	57%	
	Talented and Gifted	74%	
164	Female	63%	
	Male	64%	
Counselors	Non-Binary	57%	
		ON-TRACK TO GRADUATI	=
	American Indian/Alaska Native	56%	
	Asian	30%	94%
Social Workers	Black/African American	73%	94 76
	Hispanic/Latino	80%	
	Multiracial	80%	89%
63	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	74%	0976
Licensed	White	7470	94%
Librarians	Students Experiencing Poverty	68%	8470
	Ever English Learner	82%	
50	Students with Disabilities	79%	
59	Migrant	67%	
Psychologists	Homeless	48%	
	Students in Foster Care	53%	
	Military Connected		
80%	Talented and Gifted	< ro students of odta unavariable	>95%
EXP - VO -	Female		295% 90%
with more than 3 years	Male		90% 88%
of experience	Non-Binary		87%
	Non-Binary		8/%





2023-24

95%

85%

91%

87% 93%

>95%

91% 88%

91%

79%

77%

79%

80%

ON-TIME GRADUATION FIVE-YEAR COMPLETION 48% 67% 91% 76% 74% 84% 63% 89% 65% 76% 72% 47% 67% 55% 67% 34% 61% <10 students or data unavailable <10 students or data unavailable >95% 85% 84% 79%

Seismic Safety: http://www.oregongeology.org/sub/projects/rvs/activity-updates/status.html

Thank you!



Margaret DeLacy, 2/3/2025