FISCAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION



Legislative Fiscal Office 83rd Oregon Legislative Assembly 2025 Regular Session

Prepared by: Chelsey Herrmann

Reviewed by: John Borden, Amanda Beitel, Steve Robbins

Date: May 28, 2025

Bill Title: Relating to courts.

Government Unit(s) Affected: Judicial Department, District Attorneys, Public Defense Commission, Counties

Summary of Fiscal Impact

Costs related to the measure are indeterminate at this time - see explanatory analysis.

Measure Description

The measure expands a justice of peace district's jurisdiction to include the county seat or city where a circuit court resides. Under current law, a justice of the peace district may not include any portion of a city that contains a county seat or contains a portion of the city where a circuit court regularly holds court, with the exception of nine counties. The measure would remove this restriction and allow a justice of the peace district's jurisdiction to include any portion of a city that contains a county seat or circuit court.

The measure also increases the amount a judicial officer and county clerk may charge for the solemnization of a marriage from \$100 to \$200 plus actual costs, if performed at a place other than a courthouse, or outside of the judicial officer's or county clerk's normal working hours, and directs the State Court Administrator within the Oregon Judicial Department (OJD) to index the payment amount relating to the solemnization of a marriage performed by a judicial officer or county clerk each year before July 1, to reflect cost of living increases or decreases based on the changes in the Consumer Price Index.

Fiscal Analysis

The fiscal impact is indeterminate and dependent on how many counties implement the change of boundaries for the justice of peace districts.

Under current law, justice courts have parallel jurisdiction with circuit courts over small claims, Forcible Entry and Detainer cases (aka evictions), civil matters under \$10,000, violation offenses, and misdemeanor cases that reside within their boundaries and provide public defense for certain case types. There are currently 25 justice courts residing in 23 of 36 counties. No more than six justice of the peace districts are allowed to be in any one county. Justice courts are of limited geographical jurisdiction due to their boundaries not allowing for overlap with the circuit court's jurisdiction, which are of general jurisdiction. Petitioners that fall into the jurisdiction of either court may choose where to file their case. Law enforcement and district attorneys can similarly choose to prosecute or issue citations to appear in any court that has jurisdiction over an offense.

By expanding the boundaries of justice of the peace districts, having no geographical limits could potentially effect whether law enforcement officers and prosecutors decide to charge a violation or misdemeanor in a justice court or circuit court. Justice courts could see an increase in cases filed within their court that would not have been previously allowed, which could affect local government expenditures and revenue depending on the number of cases filed within their court. Conversely, there could be a decrease in cases filed within circuit courts that could affect their expenditures and revenues transferred to the state, as justice courts retain a greater percentage of revenue generated from violation and misdemeanor fines. These changes could have implications

Measure: HB 2306 - B

FISCAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

on the Criminal Fines Account. The removal of geographical limits could also lead to an increase of indigent defense services justice courts could provide if a petitioner chooses to file within their court, which in turn could cause a decrease of the Public Defense Commission expenditures on certain misdemeanor cases.

Additionally, increasing the fee for a marriage solemnization would have an indeterminate increase in revenue for the county or circuit court performing the solemnization. Under current law, a judicial officer (i.e., statutory judgeship) and county clerk may charge up to \$100 plus actual costs of performing the solemnization outside of the courthouse or normal working hours. The measure would allow a judicial officer and county clerk to charge up to \$200 plus actual costs.

According to recent Oregon Health Authority data, there were 24,277 actual marriage licenses and registrations in 2023. Once a marriage license application is signed it must be solemnized, through a wedding ceremony, within 60 days of the license being valid. If a marriage is solemnized by a statutory judge, the fee collected is deposited into the OJD Operating Account. Conversely, if the marriage performed by the county clerk, then the county retains the fee. According to OJD, the Department conducted 252 solemnizations in 2024. Presuming that same number of solemnizations, that would result in an additional \$25,200 Other Funds revenue deposited in the OJD Operating Account. The actual amount of revenue will be dependent on the number of solemnizations done by a judicial officer and county clerk.

Relevant Dates

The measure takes effect on January 1, 2026.

Measure: HB 2306 - B