## **HOUSE BILL 3342-3: Water Right Transactions Process Improvements – Amendment Updates**

Section	-1 Amendments (initial draft that is no longer considered)	-3 Amendments (revisions that build on -1 Amendments)
1-2	<b>Default to electronic documents:</b> Shift to providing electronic documents, unless	No change from -1 amendment for electronic documents.
	an applicant requests paper copies, for initial reviews, proposed final orders,	Adds permissive authority to use newspaper notice as an option for any public notice.
	draft preliminary determinations, preliminary determinations, final orders, and	
	draft certificates. Final certificates would still be mailed.	
3-7, 18	Utilize OWRD weekly notice for transfers, hydroelectric projects, and some	For transfers, requires newspaper notice for a proposed final
	certificates: Require notice in the OWRD weekly public notice, rather than	order stage if more than 5 water rights are injured as a result of
	newspaper notice for two consecutive weeks. The amendment does not change	the change.
	existing newspaper notice processes for new water rights.	
8-9	Allow OWRD to pass along credit/debit card processing fees: Authorize cost	No change from -1 amendment.
	recovery to enable voluntarily use of credit/debit payment options that OWRD	
	could not previously afford to offer.	
10-11	Fix statute that allows Commission to withdraw water from further	No change from -1 amendment.
	appropriation: The current withdrawal statute requires an "order" of	
	withdrawal. At the time it was adopted, an order included rules, but the APA was	
	later changed to distinguish an order from rules. An order must name specific	
	individuals, which is impossible for withdrawal orders that deal with future use,	
	rendering the tool unimplementable for new withdrawals. The amendment fixes	
	that issue by changing the withdrawal process to occur by rule rather than order.	
12-16,	Return applications for new water rights in Critical Groundwater Areas,	Clarifies that the source is designated, not the area.
20-22	withdrawn or restrictively classified areas: OWRD will return an application and	Clarifies that, for classified areas under ORS 536.340, application
	refund the relevant portion of fees if an application is in these areas. NOTE: This	return only applies if the use is subject to restriction.
	provision only applies to new water right applications.	
		Does not apply to application for recovery of groundwater under
		artificial recharge or aquifer storage and recovery project.
		Applies to pending water right applications only if (1) application
		has not yet been issued a PFO, (2) application was submitted
		after the restriction was established, and (3) the permit is not
		related to the recovery of ground water under an artificial
		recharge or aquifer storage and recovery project.
17-19,	CGWA, withdrawn, restrictively classified, and groundwater management	Removes this mandate and instead provides permissive
23-24	areas: Previous draft provided that OWRD shall deny a proposed point of	authority that OWRD may deny a proposed change to the point
	appropriation transfer or permit amendment into a CGWA, restricted or	of appropriation if it is for a source of restricted ground water.
	withdrawn area, or may deny a point of appropriation/ place of use change into	Removes groundwater management area (quality) provisions.
	groundwater management area (water quality), when the original water use was	Removes groundwater management area (quality) provisions.  Removes authority to deny change in point of appropriation due
	occurring outside those areas. A change in point of appropriation may also be	to injury if CGWA is in the process of being assessed or declared.
	denied due to injury if a CGWA is in the process of being assessed or declared.	

25-27	Limit non-municipal water right extensions: Increases the development timeline to 7 years for new permits but eliminates extensions for non-municipal water rights. For pending extension requests where a proposed final order has not been issued, extensions may be granted for a maximum of 2 years if: a portion of water has been used within the original development period, the original beforeuse fish protection conditions were satisfied, and use is not in management area (CGWA, restrictive classification, withdrawal).	Retains existing process for extensions for quasi-municipal, group domestic and group domestic expanded permits and extends development timeline from 5 to 7 years, with a new maximum one-time extension of 20 years for quasi-municipal and 10 years for group domestic and group domestic expanded.  For pending extension applications and permits that have been issued a proposed final order, the new draft no longer requires an extension to be outside a CGWA, restrictive classification, or withdrawn area. The new draft does require satisfaction of fish-related conditions and that a portion of the water quantity to be developed to receive the additional 2-year extension.	
5, 14,	Phased processing for new water rights and transfers; phased fee payment for	For new water rights, the previously proposed 30-day	
20-22,	new water rights: Requires an affirmative confirmation for OWRD to continue	confirmation period is increased to 90 days in the new draft.	
28-29	processing the application within 30 days after the initial review is issued. For new water right applications, a portion of fees would be paid upfront, and	For transfers, the draft provides for up to 90 days, with 30 days	
	remainder must be paid within 30 days of the initial review. If an applicant	to respond affirmatively regarding any outstanding information	
	chooses not to move forward, OWRD closes the file without further action.	needed and an additional 60 days allowed with good cause.	
30-31	Apply process improvements to new and existing applications: Allows process	The new draft specifies an operative date of April 1, 2026, and	
	improvements to apply to existing applications that have not received a PFO	applies relevant process sections to applications and petitions	
	prior to the effective date of the bill. This applies efficiency/transparency measures to the backlog as well	submitted after the operative date.	
32, 33	New water right applications in groundwater management areas: Makes	The new draft does not include the previously proposed	
	explicit authority that OWRD may deny an application for new water rights when	permissive authority to deny an application for new water rights	
	justified in a groundwater management area (water quality).	when justified in a groundwater management area (water	
		quality).	
	Iditions to -3 Amendment	1 LOWER III III III III III III III III III I	
31a	Allow proposed final orders (PFO) to automatically become final if no protests are received: OWRD is currently required to draft a separate PFO		
	and FO even in cases where no protest is filed. To reduce redundancy, the draft provides that, 33 days after the protest period closes on a PFO, if no protest is received and OWRD does not issue a superseding order, the PFO will become final as a matter of law.		
32, 33	Simplify process to request standing / intervention: The current process for someone to intervene in support of a PFO is cumbersome, permits late		
,	intervention that can delay the process, and results in more administrative work. Instead of the current two-step process, utilize a one-step process		
	where any person wishing to intervene in support of a PFO must petition for party status within 30 days of the protest deadline and pay the		
	associated fee, if a protest is filed. Applies to applications that have not been issued a PFO.		
5	Settled and withdrawn protests: The new draft provides that a hearing is not required if all issues in the contested case are resolved pursuant to		
	settlement, the protest is withdrawn, or the protestant defaults. This eliminates time and expense currently associated with administration of hearings where there are no longer any active issues to address.		
	inearings where there are no longer any active issues to address.		