

Agency Rulemaking and Legislative Oversight

PREPARED FOR: House Committee on RulesDATE: February 12, 2025BY: Tyler Larson, Research Analyst

Agency Rulemaking and Legislative Oversight

Going to review:

- Agency rulemaking authority and procedures
- Legislative oversight of agency rulemaking

DEXTER A. JOH	INSON	STATE CAPITOL BUILDING 900 COURT ST NE S101
LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL		SALEM, OREGON 97301-4065 (503) 968-1243 FAX: (503) 373-1043
	STATE OF OREGON	
	Legislative Counsel Committee	
		ARR Number: 1201
	April 13, 2010	
	Administrative Rule Review	
	WRITTEN FINDINGS OF NEGATIVE DETERM (Pursuant to ORS 183.720 (3))	MINATION
State Agency:	Department of Human Services—Children, A	Adults and Families Division
Rules:	OAR 413-015-0420	
	e temporary rule was filed with the Secretary of S February 12, 2010, through August 11, 2010.	State on February 12, 2010, to
Pursuant	to ORS 183.720 (3), we have made the following	determination:
The rule	raises constitutional issues, for the reasons so	et forth below.



Agency Rulemaking

Agency rulemaking is governed by <u>ORS 183.310 to 183.690</u>, the Administrative Procedures Act (APA). For the purposes of the APA:

- "Agency" means any state board, commission, department, or division thereof, or officer authorized by law to make rules or to issue orders, except those in the legislative and judicial branches.
- "Rule" means any agency directive, standard, regulation or statement of general applicability that implements, interprets or prescribes law or policy, or describes the procedure or practice requirements of any agency.



Agency Rulemaking

Agency rules must be authorized by **constitutional** or **statutory** authority.

The Court of Appeals may determine the validity of any rule and must declare a rule invalid if it finds the rule:

- violates constitutional provisions,
- exceeds the statutory authority of the agency, or
- was adopted without compliance with applicable rulemaking procedures.









1. Seek Public Input

"The Legislative Assembly finds and declares that it is the policy of this state that whenever possible the public be involved in the development of public policy by agencies and in the drafting of rules. The Legislative Assembly encourages agencies to seek public input to the maximum extent possible before giving notice of intent to adopt a rule."

ORS 183.333(1)

2. Complete Impact Analyses

HOUSING IMPACT STATEMENT:

Description of proposed change: Adoption of the 2023 Oregon Residential Specialty Code

Description of the need for, and objectives of the rule:

Oregon Revised Statute 455.610 requires the division, with the approval of the board, to adopt, and amend as necessary, a low-rise residential dwelling code "that contains all the requirements, including structural design provisions, related to the construction of residential dwellings three stories or fewer above grade." The low-rise code is known as the Oregon Residential Specialty Code (ORSC) and is comprised of structural, mechanical, plumbing, electrical, and low-rise apartment provisions.

Cost analysis for the 1,200 square foot home, using similar baseline home systems from the 2021 ORSC, show the estimated weighted cost increase from the Chapter 11 baseline revisions is \$1,167. Weighted annual energy savings are estimated at just over \$117 per year. The estimated simple payback is 10 years, and like for the larger home, would provide a net positive cash flow.

Estimated administrative construction or other costs increase or savings: The proposed rules do not impose any additional administrative requirements.

Land costs increase or savings: None.

Other costs increase or savings: None



3. Publish Notice of Agency Rulemaking



...the chair or cochairs of any interim or session committee with authority over the subject matter of the rule. If notice cannot be given to a committee, it is given to the presiding officers.

NO



4. Opportunity for Public Comment

"(a) Upon the request of a member of the Legislative Assembly or of a person who would be affected by a proposed adoption, amendment or repeal, the committees receiving notice [of rulemaking] shall review the proposed adoption, amendment or repeal for compliance with the legislation from which the proposed adoption, amendment or repeal results.

"(b) The committees shall submit their comments on the proposed adoption, amendment or repeal to the agency proposing the adoption, amendment or repeal."

ORS 183.335(16)



5: File with Secretary of State

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE TOBIAS READ	AL OF OPEN	ARCHIVES DIVISION STEPHANIE CLARK	
SECRETARY OF STATE	H States OZ	DIRECTOR	
MICHAEL KAPLAN		800 SUMMER STREET NE	
DEPUTY SECRETARY OF STATE	1859	SALEM, OR 97310 503-373-0701	
PERMANENT ADMINISTRATIVE O	RDER	FILED	
LOTT 3-2025		01/31/2025 3:35 PM ARCHIVES DIVISION	
CHAPTER 177		SECRETARY OF STATE	
OREGON STATE LOTTERY		& LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL	
FILING CAPTION: Updating requirements for Vi casinos	deo Lottery retailers relating to	the constitutional prohibition on	
EFFECTIVE DATE: 02/01/2025			
AGENCY APPROVED DATE: 01/31/2025			
CONTACT: Kris Skaro	500 Airport Rd SE	Filed By:	
503-540-1181	Salem, OR 97301	Kris Skaro	
Kris.Skaro@lottery.oregon.gov		Rules Coordinator	
RULES:			
177-040-0050, 177-040-0061			
AMEND: 177-040-0050			
RULE TITLE: Retailer Duties			
NOTICE FILED DATE: 11/13/2024			
RULE SUMMARY: The Lottery is amending OAR	177-040-0050 about retailer d	uties. The changes impose basic food	
service requirements on two types of Video Lotte	,	5	
prohibition rule: limited-menu retailers (LMRs) a	nd café/small eateries (CSEs) as	defined in the rule. Statutory	
references are also updated.			

6: Five-Year Rule Review

	Five-Year Rule Review ORS 183.405
Rule Name:	Prescription Drug Monitoring Program
Rule Number(s):	OAR 847-010-0120
Adoption Date: April 7, 2020 Review Due Dat April 7, 2025	Review Date: November 12, 2024 e: Sent to SOS Date: January 10, 2025
Advisory Comn	nittee Used: Administrative Affairs Committee nittee Not Used
0825 to implement th provided clear notification	be in Oregon. The Oregon Health Authority adopted OAR 333-023- e requirement. The Board's rule mirrored the OHA rule and ation of the requirement for Board licensees to register for PDMP. rule adoption had its intended effect? rves the intended purpose stated above.
No The rule se	rves the intended purpose stated above. anticipated fiscal impact of this rule correct?
The Oregon	Medical Board anticipated no fiscal impact on state agencies, al government, or the public. There has been no fiscal impact.
Yes be/can b	sequent changes in the law required this rule to e amended or repealed? been no changes in law requiring the rule to be amended. OHA ended their equivalent rule in OAR 333-023-0825.
Yes Is there a	a continued need for this rule?



Temporary Rulemaking Procedures

An agency may adopt, amend, or suspend a rule for up to 180 days without fulfilling notice and hearing requirements with the agency's finding that "failure to act promptly will result in serious prejudice to the public interest or the interest of the parties concerned."



On January 18, Governor Kotek declared a statewide emergency due to the severe ice storm that impacted counties across the state. The storm prohibited some school districts to safely open and operate school facilities. In many districts, students missed a full week of school.

School districts are required to provide a certain amount of instructional time each year. The instructional requirements are set out in OAR 581-022-2320. This is a Division 22 standard.

Under the proposed temporary rule, districts faced with a significant number of lost days due to inclement weather would have two options for the 2023-24 school year. First, districts could request permission to count up to 14 hours of time lost to inclement weather towards instructional time. Second, if 14 hours is not enough, a district may request a one-year waiver of the instructional time requirement using the existing Division 22 waiver process.



Legislative Oversight of Agency Rulemaking

Permanent Rulemaking Requires:

- notice of permanent rulemaking to specified lawmakers and legislative committees at least 49 days before the effective date.
- the SOS to submit an electronic copy of the adopted, amended, or repealed rule to Legislative Counsel within 10 days of filing.
- each agency to make an annual rulemaking report to the Legislative Assembly





Legislative Review





Legislative Oversight of Agency Rulemaking

Legislative Review

After the end of each odd-numbered year regular session, LC develops and distributes a list assigning state agencies to at least one interim committee. Assignments are based on subject-matter and made with the goal of ensuring that the rule review workload is approximately equally distributed between the interim committees of both chambers.

Administrative Rule Review	- Committee Assignment List	
(updated	May 2024)	
Senate Interim Committees		
Education	Oregon Dept. of Education, Youth Development Division Oregon Dept. of Education Teacher Standards and Practices Commission Chief Education Office	
Energy and Environment	Dept. of Energy Public Utility Commission Oregon State Marine Board	
Finance and Revenue	Oregon State Treasury Oregon Investment Council	
Health Care	Higher Education	HECC, Office of Student Access and Completion HECC, Office of Degree Authorization Southern Oregon University HECC, Office of Community Colleges and Workforce Development Higher Education Coordinating Commission
	Housing and Homelessness	Oregon Housing and Community Services Department
	Judiciary	Judicial Department Board of Parole and Post-Prison Supervision Dept. of State Police Dept. of Public Safety Standards and Training Oregon Youth Authority
	Labor and Workplace Standards	Employment Relations Board DCBS, Oregon Occupational Safety and Health Division Employment Department
	Revenue	Dept. of Revenue Oregon State Lottery
	Rules	Secretary of State, Audits Division Secretary of State, Archives Division Office of the Public Records Advocate Citizens' Initiative Review Commission



Legislative Review

Negative rule determinations that have been adopted by interim committees are listed below by administrative	
rule review number.	

Negative Rule Determinations

OAR 413-015-0420 - ARR #1201

The House Interim Committee on Human Services adopted the negative determination of Legislative Counsel with respect to this rule on May 25, 2010.



Administrative	Rule	Review
Administrative	Rule	Review

WRITTEN FINDINGS OF NEGATIVE DETERMINATION (Pursuant to ORS 183.720 (3))

April 13, 2010

Department of Human Services—Children, Adults and Families Division

OAR 413-015-0420

above temporary rule was filed with the Secretary of State on February 12, 2010, to ective February 12, 2010, through August 11, 2010.

suant to ORS 183.720 (3), we have made the following determination:

The rule raises constitutional issues, for the reasons set forth below.

- The portions of the rule set forth below violate or, as implemented by the Child Protective Services (CPS) worker, could violate the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution pursuant to the holding in <u>Greene v. Camreta</u>, 588 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir. 2009):
 - a. <u>OAR 413-015-0420 (1)(b)(A)</u> provides that a CPS worker "must <u>notify</u> parents of the intent to interview a child, unless notification could compromise the child's safety." (Emphasis added.) The phrase "or a criminal investigation" is struck through in the amendment to the rule purportedly to bring the rule within the holding of the recent federal court opinion in <u>Greene</u>.
 - b. <u>OAR 413-015-0420 (1)(b)(B)</u> requires the CPS worker to contact the child "at home, school, day care, or any other place where the worker believes the child may be found."
 - c. <u>OAR 413-015-0420 (1)(b)(C)(ii)</u> instructs the CPS worker that the worker "must wait until the parent is present in the home to complete a child interview in the home if the referral does not indicate severe harm or threat of severe harm to the child or if there is not reasonable cause to believe the child's health or safety is endangered by the conditions of the dwelling or that the child is inadequately supervised."

Legislative Oversight of Agency Rulemaking Identification of Duplicative or Conflicting Rules

"A member of the Legislative Assembly may request that Legislative Counsel prepare a report on a rule adopted by a state agency that the member asserts is duplicative of or conflicts with another rule. A person affected by a rule adopted by a state agency may request that Legislative Counsel prepare a report on the rule if the person asserts that the rule is duplicative of or conflicts with another rule. A request for a report must be in writing and contain copies of the two rules that are claimed to be duplicative or conflicting. The second rule may be either a rule adopted by a state agency or a rule or regulation adopted by a federal agency."

ORS 183.720(7)(a)



Questions

