

# Workgroups

Informed by the Transportation Tours

**1: Maintenance, Operations, and  
Preservation**



**2: Public and Active Transit**



**3: Priority Commitments**



# Workgroup Logistics

## Workgroup Membership

35-50 members from different advocacy and stakeholder groups

## Workgroup Meetings

5 meetings between October and December, for about 2-3 hours each.





# Objectives of Workgroups

01



What is the need

02



Understand how it is currently funded

03



Identify Benefits of Investment

04



Recommend Funding Solutions

# Workgroup Surveys

December 2024

## Appendix A – Survey Instrument

**Instructions:** This survey will be issued to each member of Workgroup One. Alternates should not take this survey unless their primary member cannot. This survey will be added as an appendix to the Report Out form for Workgroup One. The Report Out Form will be given to members of the Joint Committee on Transportation.

### Needs of Maintenance and Preservation

1. Based on our workgroup discussions, what do you believe is the greatest need for maintenance and preservation that you would like legislators to address in terms of how it advances equity, safety, and/or environmental sustainability?
2. How do we make sure we have enough resources in the State Highway Fund dedicated to preservation and maintenance?
3. Were there any key maintenance and preservation needs that were not discussed in the workgroup? What were they? (Write N/A if you believe all preservation needs were covered in the workgroup)
4. In your mind, what would a balanced multi-modal transportation system look like?

### Short-Term Revenue

Please review the following potential revenue sources for the short term. If you forgot the premise behind these revenue sources, please go to the workgroup website and re-watch the November 12<sup>th</sup> meeting.

1. Increasing Fuels Tax
  - a. Support Rating (select one)
    - o Red Light (would not support)
    - o Yellow Light (would support depending on policy choices)
    - o Green Light (would support)
  - b. Why did you choose that support rating?
2. Increasing Base Passenger Registration Fees
  - a. Support Rating (select one)
    - o Red Light (would not support)
    - o Yellow Light (would support depending on policy choices)
    - o Green Light (would support)

## Appendix A – Survey Instrument

**Instructions:** This survey will be issued to each member of Workgroup Two. Alternates should not take this survey unless their primary member cannot. This survey will be added as an appendix to the Report Out form for Workgroup Two. The Report Out Form will be given to members of the Joint Committee on Transportation.

1. In your mind, what would a balanced multi-modal transportation system look like?
2. The 2017 transportation package passed key revenue sources for public transit. Are there other revenue sources, that were not covered in the workgroup discussions, that you think the legislature should consider to bolster these programs?

### For Active Transit

1. For bicyclists, what key investment would make them feel safer on the road?
2. For pedestrians, what key investment would make them feel safer crossing the street?
3. What policy changes or investments can create more use of active transportation modes?
4. What are the key barriers to increasing the use of bike and pedestrian transportation modes?
5. What are the key barriers to increasing the use of bike and pedestrian transportation modes?

### For Passenger/Freight Rail

1. For rail, what key investment would enhance ridership?
2. What policy changes or investments can create safer infrastructure for passenger rail?
3. What policy changes or investments can create more equity for freight rail?

### For Transit

1. For transit, what key investment would enhance ridership in urban areas?
2. For transit, what key investment would enhance ridership in rural areas?
3. What policy changes or investments can create safer infrastructure for transit?



## Appendix A – Survey Instrument

*[Note: Some members of workgroup three were also members of workgroup one. For these joint members, the survey only included the questions marked with an asterisk (\*) to avoid redundancy with the workgroup one survey.]*

**Instructions:** This survey will be issued to each member of Workgroup Three. Alternates should not take this survey unless their primary member cannot. This survey will be added as an appendix to the Report Out form for Workgroup Three. The Report Out Form will be given to members of the Joint Committee on Transportation.

### 2017 Priority Projects

1. What public education elements do you think would help further public understanding of the 2017 priority projects? \*
2. If you had to choose, which priority would be more important to you at this moment? (select one) \*
  - o A tolling system where the primary goal is to raise revenue for the project area.
  - o A tolling system where the primary goal is to manage congestion.
3. How do we make sure we have enough resources in the State Highway Fund dedicated to preservation and maintenance?
4. In your mind, what would a balanced multi-modal transportation system look like?

### Short-Term Revenue

Please review the following potential revenue sources for the short term. If you forgot the premise behind these revenue sources, please go to the workgroup website and re-watch the November 21<sup>st</sup> meeting.

1. Increasing Fuels Tax
  - a. Support Rating (select one)
    - o Red Light (would not support)
    - o Yellow Light (would support depending on policy choices)
    - o Green Light (would support)
  - b. Why did you choose that support rating?



# Workgroup Reports Out



## Operations, Maintenance, and Preservation

Transportation Workgroup One Report Out

Oregon State Legislature

January 2025



## Public and Active Transit

Transportation Workgroup Two Report Out

Oregon State Legislature

January 2025



## Finishing Priority Commitments

Transportation Workgroup Three Report Out

Oregon State Legislature

January 2025