COAL act

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on HB 4083. I have been following the efforts of Divest Oregon for 3 years, hoping that some part of their agenda would result in the passage of legislation. I am 72 and have been a recipient of PERS for ten years. My husband and I depend on this income, and having a better return on investments by replacing coal with non-fossil fuel investments adds to my sense of a safety net. But more than this, knowing that the source of my livelihood is contributing to climate disaster is a moral issue for me. It's at odds with the time and energy I put into working on local and regional climate issues.

I strongly support HB 4083 - the COAL Act - because it establishes the goal of moving our state's investments out of dirty coal and keeping our pensions and state finances safer. It sets Oregon on the path to the decarbonization of its investments to which Treasurer Read has committed.

It's a first step, and a small step, but its impact will be felt by more than 400,000 Oregonians and their families who depend on PERS for their retirement. We have learned, from the experience of California in removing coal from CalPERS investments in 2015, that coal is no longer a good investment. CalPERS returns increased by \$598 million after removing coal from its portfolio. Oregon, on the other hand, is losing money with its coal investments. According to an analysis by Divest Oregon, Oregon's coal investments underperformed by \$340 million in the past 7.5 years.

How can we in Oregon, with our commitment to a green future, continue to invest in the dirtiest of fossil fuels? Let us continue our leadership in environmental progress and pass the COAL Act to preserve our treasured landscape for generations to come.

Sincerely,