# <u>HB 4111</u>

#### Property Tax Exemption: Farm Machinery & Equipment

House Committee on Revenue LRO | February 27, 2024

State of Oregon



**LEGISLATIVE REVENUE OFFICE** 



## Presentation Outline

- Taxable Farm Property
- Current Exemption
- HB 4111
- Background
- RIS Considerations
- Implications for Counties





#### Taxable Farm Property

				Type of Property			
			Tang	Intangible			
				Real	Personal	intaligible	
		Household		Taxed	Exempt <sup>1</sup>	Exempt	
	Taxpayer	Business	Non-Farm	Taxed	Taxed <sup>2</sup>	Taxed <sup>3</sup>	
		Dusiness	Farm	Taxed <sup>4</sup>	Exempt	Exempt	

<sup>1</sup> Floating homes and some manufactured structures are considered taxable personal property

<sup>2</sup> If value is above \$20,000 for FY 2022-23 (indexed to inflation)

<sup>3</sup> If centrally assessed

<sup>4</sup> Most property is specially assessed, at farmland use value instead of highest and best use value





#### **Current Exemption**

- Machinery and equipment (M&E) classified as personal property and used primarily in certain farm operations are property tax exempt (ORS 307.394).
  - Crop farming
  - Animal farming
  - Implementing a remediation plan
  - Certain other farm operations
  - On-farm M&E upkeep
- Estimated revenue loss of \$98.7M in 2023-25 (TER 2.034).
- Statute does not explicitly state a purpose for this expenditure.





What the measure does:

 Expands farm M&E exemption to real property. Exempts M&E used primarily in the preparing for storage or shipping of farm crops.

#### Effect of -2 amendment:

- Deletes the exemption of M&E used primarily in the preparing for storage or shipping of farm crops.
- Clarifies that exemption does not apply to land or buildings.
- Aligns the implementation date with the tax year (on or after July 1, 2025).





## Background

- Oregon court cases have found that hay compressors, conveyers and sorting/cleaning/packing lines are not farm M&E as construed by the Oregon Supreme Court in *King Estate Winery, Inc. v. DOR* (1999).
  - Dinsdale v. Marion County Assessor (2011)
  - Pollock and Sons, Inc. v. Umatilla County Assessor (2013)
  - Farmers Direct, Inc. v. DOR (2023)
- ODA certifies property for the food processing M&E exemption. OAR 603-025-0010 (11) specifies that food processing "does not mean the sorting, cleaning or water-rinsing of a food".





## Background (cont.)

- Recent bills attempted to expand farm M&E exemption to certain real property:
  - 2017 (1)
  - 2019 (3)
  - 2021 (1)
  - 2023 (2)
  - 2024 (1)
- 2019 Work Group
- HREV Informational Meeting 9/28/23
- HREV Public Hearing 2/8/24

Overarching goal: <u>Clarify what is exempt</u>





#### **RIS Considerations**

- Real vs. personal
- Farming vs. processing
- Differences in assessor protocol by county
- Self-reported real property return. Buildings, M&E and land are usually on separate accounts.
- Real vs. real (M&E vs. buildings). Aerial discovery of omitted property
- Assessor data availability/sharing. What is on the rolls?





## RIS Considerations (cont.)

	Real Property Declaration of Property ( and Other Related — Confide this return on or bef sessor of the county in the	Costs, Operations, Information	024	2024
Business name				
Doing business as (DBA)				
Mailing address				
City			Stato	IZIP code
Account number		Type of plant		
		Location (situs)		
Annual report required Oregon law [ORS 308.290(1)(b)] March 15. Failure to file will sul	bject the company to a late Accountin	e-filing penalty (ORS ig records location		
Namo	Contact	person for audit	Phone	Fax
Mailing address			Email	
			State	ZIP code
City				



	a Real Property Schedule fo ere space is insufficient.	reactified	property	account lister	Real property ac	
ompany name:					(Only one accou	
Schodulo 1. Pr	uildings, structures, and	d vord in	provon	onte	(only one accord	nir hei haßel
	d, use this schedule to report le					
Schedule 1–A: Co	mpleted additions (See instruct	ions, page 8)				
	Description	2. Starting date	3. Complation data	<ol> <li>Costs reported in prior years</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Costs for current reporting period not previously reported</li> </ol>	6. Total completed cost
	CASCIPION	Gano	Gain	In prior years	previously reported	Intel companie cost
Schedule 1–B: Re	tirements (See instructions, page 8)					12
	Description				Date 4. Status of property	D. Total original cost
			İ İ			
Schedule 1–C: Ur	der construction on Janua	y 1 (See inst		8)	5 Costs for current	
	Description	2. Starting date	3. Estimated completion date	<ul> <li>Costs reported prior year retur</li> </ul>	in reporting period r	not Estimated total ad completed cost
	Louis poor					
•	a a ser quant					



3

150-301-031 (Rev. 11-16-23)

Photocopies can be used

Form OR-RP



## RIS Considerations (cont.)

#### Potential Revenue Impacts to Local Governments Per Biennium (DRAFT)

Bill	Potential Revenue Impacts	Exemption Expanded To	
HB 3379 (2023)	-\$2.9M	Real farm M&E and real farm processing M&E (e.g., hay compressors, sorting/cleaning/packing lines)	Currently, the only assessor data for estimating revenue
HB 2429 (2019)	\$0.4M	Hay compressors	impacts are from 2019 and 2023.
HB 4111-2 (2024)	-\$2.5M	Real farm M&E	 Potentially smaller since sorting / cleaning /

The above impacts are based on property on the rolls. The amount of real farm M&E that is taxable, but not taxed by the assessors, is unknown.

In the Census of Ag, permanently installed equipment such as dairy equipment, feeders and feed mixers, animal hoists, or equipment that is an integral part of a building are included in the value of land and buildings.

packing lines have not been subtracted yet. Potentially larger since

Potentially larger since 3379 data was limited to M&E on farmland.





## Presentation Outline

- County Exposure to Dairy M&E
- Information from Counties
- Information from County Assessors



## County Exposure to Dairy M&E

Five counties are particularly exposed to budget risks associated with exemption of real farm M&E, particularly for the dairy industry (milk cows).

both mik cow inventory and cow mik sales in Oregon.					
	Share of milk cows	Share of cow milk sales			
County	in the State (2022)	in the State (2017)			
Marion	10%	10%			
Morrow	38%	33%			
Polk	4%	4%			
Tillamook	20%	19%			
Yamhill	6%	8%			
Five county total	79%	74%			

Five counties account for approximately three-fourths of

Source: 2022 Census of Agriculture, Table 2 and Table 11. Sales data for 2017 are used because 2022 data for Morrow County was not available.

#### both milk cow inventory and cow milk sales in Oregon:





## Information from Counties

- AOC believes the following counties, all of which have a significant dairy industry, will be most impacted:
  - Marion Supports the bill.
  - Morrow
  - Polk
  - Tillamook Most concerning due to their very tight budget situation.



## Information from County Assessors

- HB 4111-2 can be administered. An associated fiscal impact will be reported, at least partially due to educating assessors and tax filers about the change.
- HB 4111-2 is not expected to cripple any county budgets.
- Careful to point out the potential for cumulative impacts that are beyond the dairy industry and are unknown currently.
- Careful to point out that other taxing districts could be impacted.
- For DOR's part, they said it might just be one small rule update, just to make it conform to the new statute.





• Developed **worst case scenarios** from the exemption of specific types of farm M&E. The most extreme case is with dairy equipment in Morrow County.

Morrow County is home to a number of very large, very modern dairies, with some of the most expensive farm M&E in the state. It's also a county that has a small overall tax base.





#### Morrow Dairy

Affected Dairy M&E RMV \$50,000,000 Average Tax Rate (\$13/1000) 1.3% Estimated Countywide Tax Loss **\$650,000** Taxes to K-12: 25% (GF Backfilled) \$162,500 Total Non K-12 Revenue Loss \$487,500 County Perm Rate Loss (25%) \$162,500 Fire District Loss (Rates & Bonds = 13%) \$84,500

Morrow County's total property tax revenue is roughly \$40,000,000 per year. A loss of \$650,000 represents a **1.6% reduction in total property tax revenue**, which \*roughly\* carries through as a 1.6% loss to each district, except for K-12 which sees backfill via the State GF. Due to the significant uncertainties associated with the estimation of impacted RMV, the percentage loss could be anywhere between 1% and 2.3%.

17



#### Tillamook Dairy

Affected Dairy M&E RMV \$13,000,000 Average Tax Rate (\$11/1000) 1.1% Estimated Countywide Tax Loss **\$143,000** Taxes to K-12: 47% (GF Backfilled) \$67,210 Total Non K-12 Revenue Loss \$75,790 County Perm Rate Loss (12.5%) \$17,875 Fire District Loss (Rates and Bonds = 9%) \$12,870

Tillamook County's total property tax revenue is almost double that of Morrow's. A loss of \$143,000 represents a 0.2% loss in total property tax revenue, with a possible range of roughly 0.1%-0.4%.





- Based on other assumptions, the impact in Umatilla County may be a larger percent of total property tax revenue than in Tillamook County.
- Impact on total property tax revenue in Marion County is expected to be negligible.
- Not aware of any other county in which the impact of dairy would have a relative impact that approaches Morrow, Umatilla, or perhaps even Tillamook.



#### For More Information

Legislative Revenue Office
 900 Court St. NE, Room 160
 255 Capitol St NE, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor

 Public Services Building

 Salem, OR 97301
 503-986-1266
 https://www.oregonlegislature.gov/lro

State of Oregon



LEGISLATIVE REVENUE OFFICE