

Submitter: Reta Vining
On Behalf Of:
Committee: House Committee On Rules
Measure: SB166

Electors rights is under Oregon Constitution, Article II section 14, free and fair elections. With exception prisoners voting.

Next, aggravated harassment, where do you draw the line with irate electors right to vote,

security plan clerks requirements with fine if not submitted to SOS, so what is the problem there? If anything, the SOS overreach directives with penalties and fines limits the clerk to represent our counties more efficiently and have become more of a supporter of big government, not we the people, there should be lifting of the intrusion of directives and fines.

To remove name and precinct and their political party, would have not been known that DMV was changing the electors party, had this information not being published to keep the registration to vote, would have not brought forward that this duty to register electors to vote belongs to the clerk of elections at the local level. Many election integrity electors require the clerks and the Secretary of State to remain transparent with the electors and this plan puts a void in our transparency. Just seems this bill needs to be untangled and be separate issues. So I oppose the senate Bill 166 at this time.