

To: The Senate Committee on Energy and Environment

Re: HB 3043 Modernization of the Toxic Free Kids Act

Date: May 4, 2023

Greetings Chair Sollman, Vice-Chair Findley, and Members of the Committee:

Oregon Physicians for Social Responsibility is pleased to provide this testimony in support of House Bill 3043 to modernize the Toxic Free Kids Act. Guided by the values and expertise of medicine and public health, Oregon Physicians for Social Responsibility (PSR) works to protect human life from the gravest threats to health and survival.

We are an organization of over 2,800 health professionals and public health advocates statewide working collaboratively with community partners to educate and advocate for societal and policy change that protects human health at the local, state, national, and international level. We seek a healthy, just, and peaceful world for present and future generations.

House Bill 3043 will greatly strengthen the 2015 Toxic Free Kids Act by making common sense changes that will more efficiently and effectively prevent exposure of children to toxic chemicals in consumer products. And this bill, through its technical details, is ultimately about protecting children's health.

In 2017, the American Public Health Association published its policy statement on Protecting Children's Environmental Health, calling attention to the unique vulnerability and susceptibility of children to harm from exposure to environmental agents, and calling for policymakers, state and local public health agencies, and public health advocates to take effective action to prevent environmental risks to all children.¹ Modernizing the Toxic Free Kids Act by passing HB 3043 will be an important step in reducing harm to Oregon's children.

Removing the limit on the number of chemicals that can be regulated makes sense as it removes an arbitrary limit: the decision to regulate should be based on the scientific evidence that the chemical ingredient is toxic, in order to remove a hazardous product and

¹ APHA. 2017. Protecting Children's Health: A Comprehensive Framework. Policy No. 201710. American Public Health Association



prevent the adverse health effects in children. Thus, House Bill 3042 authorizes the Oregon Health Authority (OHA) to add as many chemicals to the high priority list as necessary to protect health.

House Bill 3043 gives OHA the authority to regulate classes of chemicals, as opposed to regulating individual chemicals one at a time. This bases the regulation on the shared harmful properties of classes of chemicals. Many substitutes for regulated toxic chemicals are chosen because they act similarly and serve a similar function in a product. But they also share in their ability to cause toxicity because of their similarity; they just may not have been individually tested for their toxicity. For example, bisphenol A (BPA) has been regulated due to its endocrine disrupting properties. As people became aware of its harmful effects on development, similar chemicals within the bisphenol class were quickly substituted in products before the toxicological research demonstrated that these substitutes were, unfortunately, as harmful as BPA, or worse. Regulating one chemical in a class at a time is not very effective in preventing adverse health effects and is costly, time consuming, and inefficient for obtaining information sufficient to prevent exposures to toxic chemicals that is needed by regulators and the industry being regulated.

Rather than evaluating chemicals one at a time, the class approach allows efficient decision making based on scientific evidence of the likely toxicity of entire groups of chemicals, and can help businesses, scientists, and policymakers to better understand the properties of structurally similar chemicals, where they are used, and how they can be avoided to prevent “regrettable substitutions”². The class approach embodies the Precautionary Principle or the Foresight Principle, in that it takes preventive action in the face of uncertainty, and shifts the burden of proof to the proponents of an activity.³

There are many classes of chemicals that are known to be harmful because of their similar chemical and physical properties. Since the late 1970s, research has drawn attention to many examples of “regrettable substitutions”, as seen when TRIS, a brominated chemical, was used in children’s pajamas as a flame retardant. When it was found to cause mutations,⁴ manufacturers substituted a chlorinated version that was later found to be mutagenic and carcinogenic. It was then banned from use in children’s pajamas. Unfortunately, flame retardants are still found in children’s clothing and other products

² <https://greensciencepolicy.org/our-work/class-concept/>

³ D.Kriebel & J. Tickner. 2001. Reenergizing public health through precaution. *American Journal of Public Health* 91:9:1351-1355.

⁴ A. Blum et al. 1978 Sep 15;201(4360):1020-3. doi: 10.1126/science.684422.

Oregon Physicians for Social Responsibility

The US affiliate of International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War, Recipient of the 1985 Nobel Peace Prize

4110 SE Hawthorne Blvd. #758 Portland, OR

97214 Tel: 503-274-2720 info@oregonpsr.org www.oregonpsr.org



with which children come into close daily contact. It is also known that organohalogen flame retardants can be released into the air and household dust, exposing children (and everyone) to their toxic effects.

⁵The National Academy of Sciences reported that, in light of the more than 1500 new chemicals introduced into commerce each year, assessing chemicals as classes would make regulatory hazard and risk assessment much more efficient, and that individual assessment of chemicals is unrealistic. The only practical approach for a large set of chemicals is a class approach. For example, the Academy report defined 14 subclasses of organohalogen flame retardants based on chemistry (6 subclasses) and predicted health impact (8 subclasses), demonstrating the need for an effective and expeditious way to scientifically evaluate their adverse effects.

In order to make current scientific information available to the public, the Green Science Policy Institute has grouped many chemicals of concern into six classes based on their toxicity, persistence in the environment, and bioaccumulation: PFAS (per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances), antimicrobials, flame retardants, bisphenols + phthalates, some solvents, and certain metals.⁶ This organization also provides guidance to the public on ways to avoid products containing these substances and why they should be avoided.

Retailers such as IKEA, Patagonia, and 3M have adopted the class approach through voluntary phase outs of PFAS, flame retardants, and heavy metals. It makes sense that we do the same for our children's products, and that all manufacturers and trade groups join this trend and embrace greener chemistry instead of opposing these efforts.

As public health advocates and health practitioners, we urge you to take this important step to pass a strong and effective bill for our children's sake. Please support House Bill 3043 to improve our ability to keep our kids toxic free.

Thank you for this opportunity to provide comments, for your attention to our concerns, and for your time.

Theodora Tsongas, PhD, MS

Oregon Physicians for Social Responsibility

⁵ <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/25412/a-class-approach-to-hazard-assessment-of-organohalogen-flame-retardants>

⁶ <https://greensciencepolicy.org/our-work/class-concept/>

Oregon Physicians for Social Responsibility

The US affiliate of International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War, Recipient of the 1985 Nobel Peace Prize

4110 SE Hawthorne Blvd. #758 Portland, OR

97214 Tel: 503-274-2720 info@oregonpsr.org www.oregonpsr.org

