

## I OPPOSE [HB 2507].

<https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/liz/2023R1/Downloads/MeasureDocument/HB2507/A-Engrossed>

### Staff Measure Summary:

<https://olis.oregonlegislature.gov/liz/2023R1/Downloads/CommitteeMeetingDocument/268170>

You are on thin ice with hot feet with [HB 2507].

How is any state agency going to be able to monitor all the goodwill generated by one 501(c)(3) corporation when another 501(c)(3) corporation comes over for a "friendly visit?"

Will [HB 2507] initiate the creation of more 501(c)(3) corporations to pay "friendly visits" to other 501(c)(3) corporations for the express purpose to expand their respective business and or services without incurring any tax liabilities?

[HB 2507] will initiate the "Doctrine of Leapfrogging" of one 501(c)(3) corporation "Leapfrogging" from one jurisdiction to another. Just try to keep up with them Leapin' Frogs. I'm here to tell you, you cannot.

If [HB 2507] is Enrolled, what will be the budget allocation and what state Department will monitor all of the 501(c)(3) corporations "friendly visit" activities?

[HB 2507] is a good idea in theory but, be-aware of the crafty tax liabilities.

David S. Wall

Mr. Oregon Concurr and says, "Don't raise my taxes to fund the monitoring of 501(c)(3) corporations "friendly visit" activities."

///  
///  
///