

Submitter: John Swyers

On Behalf
Of:

Committee: House Committee On Agriculture, Land Use, Natural Resources, and
Water

Measure: HJR23

Hello,

I am against any diversion of surplus funds for any 'causes'. This money should go back to the people that earned it. They will know best how to spend it, or save it, or give it to someone else. The words 'combating drought' are vague. The bill should at least say 'combatting drought in Oregon' to be taken seriously. Being purposely vague means the money will end up 'somewhere' doing 'something' that will be spun as combating drought, and do nothing of the sort. It's nonsense in a place where nonsense has become common.

Regards,

J.S.

Damascus, Oregon