

Submitter: David Pratt

On Behalf Of:

Committee: Senate Committee On Judiciary

Measure: SB348

I stand in opposition to Limits on Magazine Capacity. This measure will surely turn law abiding citizens into criminals in two ways: 1. To most people the rules surrounding when where and how an existing magazine can actually be used will be difficult to understand and apply, and 2. the already past date of 12/8/22 is arbitrary and failed to be supported by any reasonable notification or description of requirements for achieving documentation (photos et al). The notion that someone would retain old purchase records for a minor and innocuous past purchase is also unreasonable.

From a practical point of view, a 10 round limit is arbitrary. Handguns beyond counting possess 13 or even 15 round magazines as commonly sold for many years. If a limit is necessary, be bold, make it 15 rounds. That way thousands of innocent owners of such handguns will not be put in jeopardy, but extended pistol mags and typical semi automatic rifle mags of 20 or more rounds would also be covered. Most importantly it is after all, unconstitutional to hinder ownership of any of the common and useful high capacity magazines under the 2nd amendment or our US Constitution.