

Submitter: Dwight Moret  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: Senate Committee On Judiciary  
Measure: SB348

To all of the elected representatives of Oregon:

In light of the recent SCOTUS ruling in *NYSRPA v Bruen* (2022), I wonder how any politician who has sworn to uphold The Constitution of the United States can propose, let alone vote for any infringement on the 2nd Amendment. However, it seems that the current group of elected people stay up late thinking of all kinds of ways to do just that. Whether it's 114 or SB-348, they violate the plain meaning of the 2nd Amendment.

In addition to the above, it is not the law-abiding people who are committing the crimes; it is criminals, who by definition don't follow the law. So any reasonable person can see that making new laws does not impact those that don't follow the laws anyway. So, placing infringements, restrictions, and costs on the wrong group does nothing to solve the problem. I am opposed to SB-348.