

Submitter: Nancy Daniel  
On Behalf Of:  
Committee: Senate Committee On Judiciary  
Measure: SB348

Having just now read the story about today's hearing on the proposed SB348, intended to build upon the initiative 114 passed by Oregon voters, it seems clear from the overwhelming testimony against the bill, that gun owners were alerted by pro-NRA organization(s) and the rest of the citizens, who are not on pro-gun contact lists, were not alerted to the hearing. Thus the testimony received so far does not represent the position of the general population about legal guardrails to keep firearms used responsibly and safely.

I am not a gun owner (although as a retired farmer I did have a shotgun) and I am not opposed to gun ownership for hunting, target shooting or hand guns, if one feels the need for personal protection. But it seems to me age limitations, permits and the elimination of military style weapons in the hands of civilians have nothing to do with infringing on 2d amendment rights. This reads like a good law to pass, in my opinion.