

As a US citizen who is protected by the constitution of the United States of which Oregon is a member I oppose SB348. As stated in New York Rifle Club v. Bruen "New York's proper-cause requirement for obtaining an unrestricted license to carry a concealed firearm violates the Fourteenth Amendment in that it prevents law-abiding citizens with ordinary self-defense needs from exercising their Second Amendment right to keep and bear arms."

This is what SB 348 does as well. Oregon wants to infringe the rights of its citizens by requiring prohibitively expensive permits. The effect would be only bad guys will have guns and citizens will be left unprotected. Bad guys don't use legal weapons.

What SB 348 also does is prove our Oregon Legislature willfully ignores the Constitution and the Supreme Court. Which means Oregon government is a government of tyranny.

Is Bruen the test for the 2nd Amendment is, Can you put the same restrictions on the first amendment? The second amendment is no less than the first. Should we have a \$150 permitted to use social media or write these rebuttals to ridiculous legislation? Should you ban conservatives speaking in public because you don't like what they say? Maybe we should ban assembly again like you did under Covid.

This bill proves Oregon elected officials are lawless. This bill will cost Oregon millions while it is tied up in court. Yet again you are derelict in leaving out the financial statement impact. Unconscionable, really that you vote on bills without financial impact statements.

NO! On SB 348 because it is unconstitutional and ignores the recent Supreme Court ruling in Bruen.